

MINUTES OF THE  
MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS  
AIR QUALITY TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

Thursday, June 28, 2007  
MAG Office  
Phoenix, Arizona

MEMBERS PRESENT

Stephen Cleveland, City of Goodyear, Chairman  
Shirley Gunther for Jess Segovia, Avondale  
Lucky Roberts, Buckeye  
\*Jim Weiss, Chandler  
\*Jamie McCullough, El Mirage  
Lisa Taraborelli for Tami Ryall, Gilbert  
Catherine Chaberski for Doug Kukino, Glendale  
Scott Bouchie, Mesa  
Gaye Knight, Phoenix  
Larry Person, Scottsdale  
#Antonio DeLaCruz, Surprise  
Oddvar Tveit, Tempe  
\*Walter Bouchard, Citizen Representative  
Corey Woods, American Lung Association of Arizona  
Barbara Sprungl, Salt River Project  
Brian O'Donnell, Southwest Gas Corporation  
Mark Hajduk, Arizona Public Service Company  
#Gina Grey, Western States Petroleum Association  
Randi Alcott, Valley Metro  
Dave Berry, Arizona Motor Transport Association  
Jeannette Fish, Maricopa County Farm Bureau  
\*Russell Bowers, Arizona Rock Products Association  
\*Michelle Rill, Greater Phoenix Chamber of Commerce

Amanda McGennis, Associated General Contractors  
\*Connie Wilhelm-Garcia, Homebuilders Association of Central Arizona  
\*Stephen J. Andros, American Institute of Architects - Central Arizona  
\*Mannie Carpenter, Valley Forward  
Kai Umeda, University of Arizona Cooperative Extension  
Beverly Chenausky, Arizona Department of Transportation  
Diane Arnst for Peter Hyde, Arizona Department of Environmental Quality  
Wienke Tax, Environmental Protection Agency  
Jo Crumbaker, Maricopa County Air Quality Department  
Duane Yantorno, Arizona Department of Weights and Measures  
Ed Stillings, Federal Highway Administration  
\*Judi Nelson, Arizona State University  
\*B. Bobby Ramirez, Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community  
\*David Rueckert, Citizen Representative

\*Members neither present nor represented by proxy.  
#Participated via telephone conference call.  
+Participated via video conference call.

OTHERS PRESENT

Lindy Bauer, Maricopa Association of Governments  
Dean Giles, Maricopa Association of Governments  
Julie Hoffman, Maricopa Association of Governments  
Patrisia Navarro, Maricopa Association of Governments  
Ieesuck Jung, Maricopa Association of Governments  
Taejoo Shin, Maricopa Association of Governments  
Cathy Arthur, Maricopa Association of Governments  
Shane Kiesow, City of Apache Junction  
Mario Saldamando, City of Goodyear  
Brent Stoddard, City of Glendale

Ray Dovalina, City of Phoenix  
Clem Ligoeki, Maricopa County Department of Transportation  
Jane McVay, Arizona Department of Transportation  
Jody Noble, Environmental Stabilization Solutions  
Barb Sylvester, Brown and Caldwell  
John Nadeau, Hythane Company  
Corinne Purtill, The Arizona Republic  
# Doris Lo, Environmental Protection Agency

1. Call to Order

A meeting of the MAG Air Quality Technical Advisory Committee was conducted on June 28, 2007. Stephen Cleveland, City of Goodyear, Chair, called the meeting to order at approximately 1:40 p.m. Antonio DeLaCruz, City of Surprise; Gina Grey, Western States Petroleum Association, and Doris Lo, Environmental Protection Agency, attended the meeting via telephone conference call.

Lindy Bauer, Maricopa Association of Governments, indicated that Mr. Cleveland is retiring from the City of Goodyear and presented him with a Resolution of Appreciation for his work as Chair of the MAG Air Quality Technical Advisory Committee.

Mr. Cleveland thanked the Committee and commented on the commitment made by everyone to make the air quality efforts successful. He mentioned the cooperation and willingness to give a little. Mr. Cleveland discussed the workshop conducted on the Clark County Dust Control Program in Las Vegas, Nevada to get new ideas about what this region needs to do to address dust control. He indicated that without that trip, there may not have been the bonding, understanding, and appreciation for what is dust and what are the issues. Mr. Cleveland stated that it is imperative that the community be successful. He mentioned that it is about taking limited resources and sharing to put them where it makes a difference. Mr. Cleveland indicated that he officially retires from the City of Goodyear on December 31, 2007. He added that he will help transition beginning July 1, 2007. Mr. Cleveland discussed his unique opportunity and announcing his retirement six months early. He stated that each person has made being Chair most pleasurable. Mr. Cleveland indicated that the Committee understands the issues and he had the pleasure of facilitating the conversation, decisions and cooperation. He stated that he will miss the Committee immensely and thanked them for the opportunity. Mr. Cleveland indicated that leadership is only a function of those willing to support it.

2. Call to the Audience

Mr. Cleveland stated that, according to the MAG public comment process, members of the audience who wish to speak are requested to fill out comment cards, which are available on the tables adjacent to the doorways inside the meeting room. Citizens are asked not to exceed a three minute time period for their comments. Public comment is provided at the beginning of the meeting for nonagenda items and nonaction agenda items. He noted that no public comment cards had been received.

3. Approval of the June 7, 2007 Meeting Minutes

The Committee reviewed the minutes from the June 7, 2007 meeting. Larry Person, City of Scottsdale, requested a change to the third paragraph on page 5 of the minutes. He indicated that the last sentence should read that Ms. Bauer stated that MAG is now collecting data of the inventories of unpaved roads from the cities and will be evaluating that data. Mr. Person made a motion to approve the June 7, 2007 meeting minutes as corrected. Jeannette Fish, Maricopa County Farm Bureau, seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

4. Draft 2007 MAG Conformity Analysis for the Draft FY 2008-2012 MAG Transportation Improvement Program and Draft Regional Transportation Plan - 2007 Update

Dean Giles, Maricopa Association of Governments, presented the Draft 2007 MAG Conformity Analysis for the Draft FY 2008-2012 MAG Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and Draft Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) - 2007 Update. He indicated that each year MAG typically updates the TIP and RTP and conducts a regional emissions analysis. Mr. Giles provided background on air quality conformity. He indicated that the Clean Air Act links transportation and air quality and requires that transportation plans, programs and projects conform to the purpose of air quality plans. Conformity ensures that transportation activities do not cause violations of the air quality standards. Mr. Giles indicated that the motor vehicle emissions budgets established in the air quality plans are used in the conformity analysis.

Mr. Giles stated that conformity requires that the TIP and RTP pass the conformity emissions tests using budgets determined to be adequate or approved by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Conformity also requires that the latest planning assumptions and emissions models be used. Mr. Giles indicated that the TIP and RTP must provide for the timely implementation of transportation measures from the applicable air quality plan. Also, MAG conducts interagency consultation on proposed models, associated methods, and assumptions for the upcoming analysis and then again once the draft conformity analysis is available. Mr. Giles presented the results of the following: the conformity budget test for carbon monoxide; the adjusted one-hour ozone budget test and 2002 baseline emissions test for volatile organic compounds and nitrogen oxides for eight-hour ozone; and, the conformity budget test for PM-10.

Brian O'Donnell, Southwest Gas Corporation, commented on EPA proposing a new eight-hour ozone standard. He asked if the conformity analysis would need to be redone if the standard is lowered. Ms. Bauer responded that EPA has just proposed the new eight-hour ozone standard. She indicated that, according to the EPA schedule, the new standard would become final March 12, 2008. Ms. Bauer mentioned that States would then need to make recommendations for areas to be designated attainment and nonattainment. She indicated that when EPA does set the standard, modeling would need to be conducted for the attainment date and a plan prepared that would set a new budget. Ms. Bauer stated that EPA will likely provide additional conformity guidance in conjunction with the Federal Highway Administration.

Mr. Giles discussed the transportation control measure funding in the FY 2008-2012 MAG TIP. He indicated that the total funding is approximately \$1.6 billion. Mr. Giles stated that the TIP and RTP do not interfere with the timely implementation of the transportation control measures. He provided a conformity schedule. Mr. Giles indicated that based on the conformity requirements, the TIP and RTP are supported by the regional emissions analysis for a finding of conformity. He reviewed the responses to public comments on the Draft 2007 MAG Conformity Analysis for the Draft FY 2008-2012 MAG TIP and Draft MAG RTP - 2007 Update received at the June 18, 2007 public hearing. He noted that the responses to public comments were provided at each place.

Mr. Person asked why the conformity budget test for carbon monoxide included an additional year. Mr. Giles replied that 2015 is a maintenance year for carbon monoxide which makes it a requirement. Corey Woods, American Lung Association of Arizona, made a motion to recommend approval of the Draft 2007 MAG Conformity Analysis for the Draft FY 2008-2012 MAG TIP and

Draft RTP - 2007 Update. Beverly Chenausky, Arizona Department of Transportation, seconded and the motion carried unanimously.

5. Evaluation of Proposed PM-10 Projects for FY 2007 CMAQ Funding

Mr. Giles presented the evaluation of proposed PM-10 projects for FY 2007 Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) funding. He indicated that on May 23, 2007, the MAG Regional Council approved an additional 13 PM-10 measures. One of the measures was for MAG to allocate \$5 million in FY 2007 MAG federal funds matched on a 50/50 basis by MAG member agencies for paving dirt roads and shoulder projects. Mr. Giles stated that three road and seven shoulder projects requesting approximately \$6.044 million in federal funds were received. He indicated that MAG has conducted an evaluation of the proposed projects for emissions reduction and cost-effectiveness.

Mr. Giles stated that the evaluation was included in the agenda packet and presented in two attachments. In Attachment A, the projects have been listed in order of cost-effectiveness based on the amount of CMAQ funds requested. Attachment B provides the projects listed in order of PM-10 emission reductions. Mr. Giles indicated that the request is for the Committee to rank the projects for the \$5 million available. Mr. Cleveland commented that there is \$5 million available and approximately \$6 million in requests. He discussed cost-effectiveness versus emission reductions.

Barbara Sprungl, Salt River Project, indicated that if the projects were ranked by cost-effectiveness, and keeping the Phoenix/Maricopa County shoulder project due to the proximity to the PM-10 monitors in the Salt River Area, the Goodyear project would be cut. She stated that cutting the Goodyear project brings it below \$5 million and eliminating 73.4 kg/day of PM-10 reductions. Ms. Sprungl indicated that based on PM-10 reductions alone, five projects would be cut and eliminate 235 kg/day of PM-10 reductions. She mentioned that it would be less of a cut in reductions by cutting the Goodyear project rather than the five with the lowest PM-10 reductions.

Dave Berry, Arizona Motor Transport Association, referred to previous documents sent that indicated that the Regional Council made a decision to allocate, on a 50/50 matching basis, \$5 million and asked if that is the same as the \$5 million being discussed. Ms. Bauer replied that it is the same \$5 million. Mr. Berry commented on the rush in getting the projects submitted and asked if CMAQ funds are being used. Ms. Bauer that is correct. She indicated that MAG also explored using Surface Transportation Program (STP) to see which would be most feasible. Ms. Bauer discussed putting the projects in the TIP to help with the PM-10 problem. She indicated that MAG learned the STP funds would need to be used on functionally classified roads. Therefore, CMAQ funds will be used.

Mr. Berry asked if all of the projects were a 50/50 split or did any member agencies contribute more. He inquired if both parties are contributing 50 percent. Mr. Giles responded that is correct. Mr. Berry mentioned that with Proposition 400, the CMAQ dollars have been programmed. He asked if the \$5 million is part of Proposition 400 commitments or new money. Mr. Berry inquired about how the \$5 million fits with the 20 year plan. Mr. Giles replied that the funding has been established in the TIP. He indicated that there were some projects in the TIP that could not proceed in the current year so the funding is available for FY 2007. Mr. Giles noted that the TIP is still on schedule to continue the remainder of the CMAQ projects programmed.

Mr. Berry commented on the importance of paving roads now. He indicated that he supports this activity, but wants to make sure it is in compliance with Proposition 400. Mr. Giles stated that the funds were part of the closeout that was set aside for this purpose. Ms. Bauer mentioned that these funds would be on a nonsupplanting basis for new projects.

Mr. Cleveland recognized Ray Dovalina, City of Phoenix, who commented that Phoenix worked in collaboration with Maricopa County Department of Transportation (MCDOT) for this particular submittal. He indicated that Phoenix is very supportive in moving forward and hopefully receiving funding for the project. Mr. Dovalina discussed the areas to be paved and indicated the funding would help Phoenix move forward in that direction.

Mr. Cleveland recognized Clem Ligocki, Maricopa County Department of Transportation, who commented that there is a lot at stake. He indicated that Phoenix thought it would be a good idea to work together on the project. Mr. Ligocki mentioned that MCDOT said it would be an excellent idea and put together a group of projects with high traffic where there could be a strong impact. He stated that he hopes the Committee supports the project and indicated that he appreciates MAG making the funding available. Mr. Ligocki added that MCDOT has a commitment to work with Phoenix to complete the project.

Mr. Cleveland inquired about the roads and shoulders included in the project for \$1.9 million. Mr. Dovalina replied that the projects are for approximately nine miles of roadways and about 12 miles of shoulders. He stated that the project for \$1.9 million is for about 12 miles of shoulders. Mr. Cleveland inquired about the proximity to the PM-10 monitors in the Salt River Area. Gaye Knight, City of Phoenix, indicated that most of the road and shoulder projects are in South Phoenix in the Salt River Area. She mentioned that a map has been produced and that she had copies. Mr. Dovalina added that some other areas were identified in the northern area of Maricopa County.

Mr. Cleveland inquired about the five projects that would be cut when ranking by emission reductions. Ms. Sprungl stated that if the projects are ranked by cost-effectiveness and including the Phoenix/Maricopa County shoulder project because of the impact on the Salt River Area, the Goodyear project would have the highest cost-effectiveness. She indicated that the cost is \$1.2 million and cutting the project would be eliminating 73.4 kg/day of emission reductions. Ms. Sprungl stated that if the projects were ranked solely on emission reductions, the last five projects on Attachment B would be cut, eliminating 235 kg/day of emission reductions.

Mr. O'Donnell commented on unpaved shoulders near the Higley monitor. He indicated that he is not sure of the timeline, but perhaps these unpaved shoulders should be addressed. Mr. Cleveland stated that these funds are what was not spent in the current cycle. He indicated that the projects need to be ready to go and obligated by September. Mr. Cleveland stated that there is importance in the Phoenix improvements. He commented on Goodyear receiving funds as well. Mr. Cleveland mentioned going 50/50 on the last two projects. He commented on emission reductions versus cost-effectiveness.

Jo Crumbaker, Maricopa County Air Quality Department, commented that the projects evaluated are some of the most cost-effective that the Committee has seen in a while. She indicated that she does not know if cost-effectiveness itself is a driver. Ms. Crumbaker mentioned some of the issues in the Salt River Area have to do with drainage issues and irrigation ditches, which makes the cost of the

shoulders in particular more expensive. Mr. Cleveland asked if Ms. Crumbaker supports the emission reductions method. Ms. Crumbaker referred to comments made by Ms. Sprungl and getting the most emission reductions.

Mr. Berry indicated that he supports thoughts by Ms. Sprungl in terms of trying to reduce the most tons possible in total with a special emphasis on those around the PM-10 monitors. He indicated that it is not just about eliminating PM-10 around the monitors, but it is an important part.

Scott Bouchie, City of Mesa, inquired about partially funding the Goodyear project. Mr. Giles responded that the Goodyear projects were submitted for various locations. He indicated that many of the projects in the list have many segments that occur on different roadways. Mr. Giles stated that it is possible that if the Committee cuts funding from a project it could be repackaged so that the scope is cut to use the funding on fewer locations. Mr. Cleveland asked if the recommendation by Mr. Bouchie is that any remainder money would go to the Goodyear project to be allocated against fewer of the segments than were proposed. Mr. Bouchie replied that is correct.

Ms. Knight inquired about additional money so all the projects could be funded. Mr. Cleveland asked if there is any additional funding available. Mr. Giles responded that the \$5 million is the funding that the Regional Council had allocated for paving dirt roads and shoulders. Mr. Cleveland commented on a recommendation to Management Committee and Regional Council that there are some very effective projects and additional funding should be allocated. In the event additional funding cannot be allocated, then reduce the Goodyear project down to the amount of the remaining funds. He mentioned Goodyear being first on the list for funding next year.

Mr. Berry asked if there are any 2008 CMAQ projects with a cost-effectiveness greater than \$4,494. He commented on the projects evaluated being very cost-effective. Mr. Berry stated that it would be silly to move forward with 2008 CMAQ projects that would not have the same benefit as the Goodyear project if it were to be cut. Mr. Giles indicated that the FY 2008 and 2009 paved road projects have gone through the process and are scheduled in the draft TIP. Mr. Berry commented on reallocating the funds if it means cleaner air more quickly and more cost-effectively. Ms. Bauer mentioned that the region has a problem with PM-10 and the \$5 million was approved by the Regional Council in May because they realized it is a problem that needs to be fixed quickly and that is why the projects will be included in the TIP.

Mr. O'Donnell commented on having a project and expending funds. Mr. Giles indicated that the 2008 projects went separately to the Regional Council and received the money that was allocated for each project. He stated that at this point, the project sponsors may have begun to move ahead in anticipation of the project. Mr. Giles mentioned the impact of making a change. Mr. Berry indicated that it is worth checking and would hope that there would be flexibility to make mid-course corrections if warranted.

Ms. Knight commented on Goodyear having five projects and Phoenix and Maricopa County having a number of short segments making hard to do an individual analysis on each. She inquired about completing an individual analysis on the five Goodyear projects and submitting them separately instead of one project to determine the emission reductions for each and compare with the other projects submitted. Mr. Cleveland stated that it is his understanding that MAG staff grouped the projects. He asked if the analysis was run on the individual segments. Mr. Giles replied no. He

indicated that the shoulder projects tend to be short segments and instead of bringing a list of 30 projects to the Committee, the projects were grouped.

Ms. Knight stated that Phoenix struggles in the Salt River Area with PM-10 violations and some of the shoulder projects in the area are fairly long segments with a fair amount of traffic. She referred to Attachment B and stated that there is a lot of emission reductions for paving roads and shoulders do not get as much reduction. However, the shoulder projects are on arterial roads and are long segments in the Salt River Area.

Mr. Cleveland stated that there is no question that the Phoenix/Maricopa County projects warrant funding. He asked if the Committee is comfortable with any remainder funds going to the Goodyear project. Mr. Cleveland indicated that gives Goodyear the opportunity to do some additional improvements. He stated that if there are new funds in 2008, as done for street sweepers, then those projects not funded in this list become first priority in the next list. Lisa Taraborelli, Town of Gilbert, mentioned the consideration of asking for additional CMAQ dollars to fully fund the requests. Mr. Cleveland stated that is recommendation number one, that all the projects merit funding to the full extent of the projects listed and therefore encourage the Management Committee and Regional Council to approve an additional approximately \$1 million. He indicated that in the event that there is a finding that there is not an additional \$1 million, then the second recommendation would be that all the projects be funded with the last Goodyear project listed receiving the remaining funds and, if the Committee is willing to consider it, putting the project at the top of the list for 2008 consideration of uncommitted funding.

Ms. Sprungl made a motion that the Management Committee and Regional Council be encouraged to find an additional \$1 million or any portions thereof and that additional amount be added to the funds available for the Goodyear project. Ms. Taraborelli seconded and the motion carried unanimously. Ms. Taraborelli made a motion that any Goodyear projects that do not make the 2007 funding be placed at the top of the list for 2008 funding. Mr. Cleveland mentioned street sweepers as an example. Mr. Giles indicated that MAG solicits street sweeper projects each year. Amanda McGennis, Associated General Contractors, seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

6. Decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals on the EPA Request for Rehearing of the Phase I Rule to Implement the 8-Hour Ozone Standard and EPA Proposed New 8-Hour Ozone Standard

Ms. Bauer provided an overview of the decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals on the EPA Request for Rehearing of the Phase I Rule to Implement the 8-Hour Ozone Standard and EPA Proposed New 8-Hour Ozone Standard. She indicated that EPA had notified MAG that on June 8, 2007, the U.S. Court of Appeals rejected EPA's rehearing request on the classification of Subpart 1 areas. Ms. Bauer mentioned that this region is a Subpart 1 Basic Area under that classification. She stated that EPA will now have to go back and determine what they will do with the Subpart 1 areas.

Ms. Bauer indicated that the Eight-Hour Ozone Plan was due June 15, 2007 and on June 18, 2007 EPA emailed a memorandum to MAG stating that the plans are not due by the June 15, 2007 submission date. However, the Clean Air Act states that the nonattainment area plans are due three years after designation. Ms. Bauer mentioned that EPA has indicated that they will not be taking action on the plan anytime soon. She stated that as EPA determines what to do with the Subpart 1 areas, MAG will continue to watch the monitors and hopefully have another summer with no

violations. Ms. Bauer noted that to date there have been no exceedances of the eight-hour ozone standard in 2007. Wienke Tax, Environmental Protection Agency, stated that there may be a new submission date and new requirements, but EPA does not know right now.

Ms. Bauer stated that on June 20, 2007, EPA proposed to strengthen the eight-hour ozone standard. She indicated that the EPA fact sheet and maps were included in the agenda packet. Ms. Bauer referred to the maps and stated that several more areas would fall into nonattainment, based on 2003-2005 air quality data, including this region. She also called attention to the timeline. Ms. Bauer mentioned that EPA is currently taking comments and final standards would be issued by March 12, 2008. She indicated that States would make recommendations for areas to be designated attainment and nonattainment in June 2009, EPA would make the final designations in June 2010, and then the plans would be due in 2013. Ms. Bauer added that attainment dates would be between 2013 and 2030.

Ms. Crumbaker stated that the Maricopa County Air Quality Department looked at the 19 County monitor sites and through 2006 all except four would violate the proposed 0.075 parts per million (ppm) standard and all except two would violate the proposed 0.070 ppm standard. Mr. Cleveland inquired about the consequences. Ms. Crumbaker indicated that the region has more measures and thinking to do when looking ahead.

Ms. Bauer stated that the attainment date will be key. She mentioned that the Legislature has passed S.B. 1552 which included ozone control measures that will start next year. Ms. Bauer indicated that the benefit for the Tier II Tailpipe Standards that started with model year 2004 vehicles is only beginning. In addition, the EPA Heavy Duty Engine Standards start with model year 2007 heavy duty vehicles and the October 1, 2006 clean burning diesel fuel just started last year. Ms. Bauer stated that these measures are heavy hitting with ozone. She added that traditionally, the federal tailpipe standards have had the biggest impact for carbon monoxide and ozone. Ms. Bauer stated that the region will need to keep an eye on the monitors and the attainment date to see if additional measures will be needed.

Ms. Knight referred to the EPA maps and commented on the number of counties in Arizona that would violate the proposed standards. Diane Arnst, Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, stated that five counties would violate the proposed 0.075 ppm standard and eight or nine would violate the proposed 0.070 ppm standard. She indicated that ADEQ would be submitting comments. Mr. Cleveland commented that half of the State would be in violation.

Ms. Sprungl commented on the Eight-Hour Ozone Plan being submitted and submitting a maintenance plan if the region attains the 0.080 ppm standard. She asked if the region could be back to submitting a nonattainment plan for the new ozone standard after a maintenance plan would be submitted for the 0.080 ppm standard. Ms. Bauer replied that has happened in the past. For the one-hour standard, the region got a clean data finding from EPA, submitted the One-Hour Ozone Maintenance Plan, which EPA approved one day before the one-hour ozone standard was revoked, and then had to prepare the Eight-Hour Ozone Plan. Ms. Bauer stated that the EPA philosophy over time has been to tighten up on the pollutants to keep moving forward in cleaning up the air.

7. Update on S.B. 1552 Air Quality Program

Ms. Bauer gave an update on S.B. 1552 Air Quality Program. She indicated that the Arizona Legislature passed the bill on June 20, 2007. Ms. Bauer recognized the hard work of the Committee members. She stated that the Legislature went through the list of measures recommended by the Regional Council and many are embodied in legislation. Ms. Bauer added that S.B. 1552 included three ozone control measures as well. She indicated that the bill summary was included in the agenda packet. Ms. Bauer stated that commitments are being submitted to implement the PM-10 measures from the local governments and Maricopa County. She indicated that one question that is frequently asked is if the measures that the cities and County are committing to will fit with the legislation. Ms. Bauer stated that the measures should fit very nicely.

Ms. McGennis asked why the cities need to adopt an ordinance when there is County Rule 310.01. Ms. Bauer responded that the legislation calls for some ordinances and Maricopa County has stated that help is needed. Originally, the County was taking on a huge undertaking with Rule 310 applied on a region-wide basis as well as Rule 310.01 and so the County is asking the cities for help. Ms. Knight indicated that the cities thought they were helping a lot by adopting new ordinances that are captured in S.B. 1552. She stated that was a huge step for cities to do existing parking lots and vacant lots. Ms. Knight added that the cities thought they responded.

Ms. Fish stated that she thought the question raised by Ms. McGennis was more jurisdictional in that the County Rule 310.01 encompasses all the municipal jurisdictions within the County. Ms. Bauer responded that Rule 310.01 does encompass all of the jurisdictions; however, the County is saying they cannot handle it.

Mr. Cleveland inquired about the County commitment toward hiring additional inspectors. Ms. Crumbaker replied that it is still in the process; however, the County is looking at an additional 78 people which includes enforcement officers, support staff, and supervisors. Mr. Cleveland asked if the positions are authorized. Ms. Crumbaker responded that the positions are not authorized, but will go to the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors on July 23, 2007. She commented on committing to the financial resources which is necessary to make it an enforceable commitment. Ms. Crumbaker indicated that the County is working on describing the financial commitments.

7. Call for Future Agenda Items

Mr. Cleveland announced that the next meeting of the Committee is tentatively scheduled for July 26, 2007 at 1:30 p.m. Ms. Tax requested that the presentation on the Gila River Indian Community Air Quality Management Plan that was postponed at the last meeting be scheduled for a future meeting.

Ms. McGennis asked who would be replacing Mr. Cleveland as Chair of the Committee. Mr. Cleveland indicated that the process includes finding a city manager from the MAG Management Committee who is willing to make the commitment.

Ms. Knight presented Mr. Cleveland with a card from the Committee. Mr. Cleveland thanked the Committee. With no further comments, the meeting was adjourned.