

May 9, 2003

TO: Members of the MAG Management Subcommittee on 2005 Population Options  
FROM: George Pettit, Gilbert, Chair  
SUBJECT: MEETING NOTIFICATION AND TRANSMITTAL OF TENTATIVE AGENDA

Friday, May 16, 2003 - 10:30 a.m.  
MAG Office, Suite 200, Cholla Room  
302 North 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue, Phoenix

A meeting of the MAG Management Subcommittee on 2005 Population Options will be held at the time and place noted above. For those who are unable to attend the meeting in person, you may participate via audioconference by calling (602) 261-7510 five minutes before the start of the meeting. Upon prompting please enter the meeting ID (2005) followed by the pound sign. You may also send a person to represent you.

If you have any questions, or need additional information, please contact Harry Wolfe at (602) 254-6300.

TENTATIVE AGENDA

1. Call to Order
2. Meeting Minutes of May 2, 2003
3. Revenue Implications of Using 2005 instead of Census 2000 Population to Distribute State-Shared Revenue

The Subcommittee has requested an estimate of the revenue implications of using a 2005 population count instead of Census 2000 for distributing state-shared revenue. Drawing upon analysis conducted by the League of Arizona Cities and Towns, MAG staff has prepared a rough estimate of the state-shared revenues allocated to member agencies using 2000 Census versus an estimate of 2005 population. Please see Attachment One.

COMMITTEE ACTION REQUESTED

2. Review and approve meeting minutes of May 2, 2003.
3. For information and discussion

4. Issues Associated with the Use of 2005 DES Resident Population Estimates for the Distribution of State Shared Revenues

At the May 2, 2003, Subcommittee meeting it was requested that MAG staff provide a briefing on the issues associated with the use of a 2005 DES population estimate for distributing state-shared revenues. MAG staff will discuss the methods used by DES for estimates at the State and County, and by MAG at the place level; and the potential sources of error associated with the application of the methods.

5. Overview of Relative Merits of Alternatives for Determining 2005 Population for the Distribution of State-Shared Revenues

The relative merits of using an estimate, a survey or a Special Census will be discussed. Please see Attachment Three. Based on the overview a recommendation to the Management Committee will be considered regarding the option for deriving a 2005 population estimate for distributing state shared revenue and the procedure to be used in allocating the cost.

4. For information and discussion.

5. For information, discussion and possible recommendation to the MAG Management Committee on an option or options for deriving a 2005 population figure for distributing state-shared revenue; and the procedure to be used in allocating the cost.