

MAG Conformity Analysis

FY 2002-2006
MAG Transportation Improvement Program

MAG Long Range Transportation Plan
2001 Update



2001 MAG CONFORMITY ANALYSIS

FOR THE

FY 2002-2006

MAG TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

AND THE

MAG LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

2001 UPDATE

July 2001

Maricopa Association of Governments
302 North 1st Avenue, Suite 300
Phoenix, Arizona 85003

MAG Contact Person:
Dean Giles, (602) 254-6300

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
1 FEDERAL AND STATE REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS	1-1
Federal and State Conformity Rules	1-1
Conformity Rule Requirements	1-4
Air Quality Designations	1-7
Conformity Test Requirements	1-9
Analysis Years	1-12
2 LATEST PLANNING ASSUMPTIONS	2-1
Population and Employment	2-1
Traffic Counts	2-3
Vehicle Miles of Travel	2-4
Speeds	2-5
Vehicle Registrations	2-5
Implementation Measures	2-7
3 TRANSPORTATION MODELING	3-1
Transportation Models	3-1
Socioeconomic Projections	3-3
Traffic Estimates	3-5
Transit Networks And Operations	3-6
4 AIR QUALITY MODELING	4-1
Carbon Monoxide	4-2
Ozone	4-4
PM-10	4-5
5 TRANSPORTATION CONTROL MEASURES	5-1
Federal Conformity Rule Requirements for TCMs	5-1
Applicable Air Quality Implementation Plans	5-4
TCM Findings for the TIP and LRTP	5-6
Measure-by-Measure TCM Assessment	5-7
6 TIP AND LRTP CONFORMITY	6-1
Conformity Test Results for Carbon Monoxide	6-2
Conformity Test Results for Ozone	6-2
Conformity Test Results for Particulate Matter	6-3
Glossary	G-1
References	R-1
Appendices	A-1

Figures

ES-1	Carbon Monoxide Results for Conformity Budget Test	ES-5
ES-2	Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) Results for Conformity Budget Test	ES-6
ES-3	PM-10 Results for Conformity Budget Test and Emission Reduction (Build/No Build) Test	ES-7
ES-4	Transportation Control Measure Funding in the Draft FY 2002-2006 MAG Transportation Improvement Program	ES-8
1-1	Air Quality Nonattainment Areas for the Maricopa County Area, Arizona	1-8
6-1	Carbon Monoxide Results for Conformity Budget Test	6-5
6-2	Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) Results for Conformity Budget Test	6-6
6-3	PM-10 Results for Conformity Budget Test and Emission Reduction (Build/No Build) Test	6-7

Tables

1-1	Conformity Criteria from the Final Rule	1-5
2-1	Latest Planning Assumptions for MAG Conformity Determinations	2-2
2-2	Ratio of Estimated/Observed Vehicle Hours of Travel* 1993 PM Peak Period	2-6
2-3	SIP Control Measures to Be Assumed in the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis	2-8
3-1	Traffic Network Comparison for Scenarios Evaluated for 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis	3-4
3-2	Transit Fares in Effect at the Time of Completion of the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis	3-7
5-1	Programmed Transportation Projects That Implement TCMs and other Measures	5-8
6-1	Conformity Test Results for CO, VOC, and PM-10 (metric tons/day)	6-4

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report presents the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis for the FY 2002-2006 MAG Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and the Long Range Transportation Plan 2001 Update (LRTP). The Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG) is the designated metropolitan planning organization in Maricopa County, Arizona, and is responsible for regional transportation and air quality planning. The analysis demonstrates that the criteria specified in the federal transportation conformity rule for a conformity determination are satisfied by the TIP and LRTP. A finding of conformity for the FY 2002-2006 MAG Transportation Improvement Program and the Long Range Transportation Plan 2001 Update is therefore supported.

Summarized below are the applicable federal criteria or requirements for conformity determinations, the conformity tests applied, the results of the conformity assessment of the TIP and LRTP, and an overview of the organization of this report. Figures presenting the conformity test results are provided at the end of the Executive Summary.

CONFORMITY REQUIREMENTS

The federal transportation conformity final rule (40 Code of Federal Regulations Parts 51 and 93) specifies criteria and procedures for conformity determinations for transportation plans, programs, and projects and their respective amendments. The federal transportation conformity rule was first promulgated in 1993 by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), following the passage of amendments to the federal Clean Air Act in 1990. The federal transportation conformity rule has been revised three times since its initial release. On March 2, 1999, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia issued an opinion in *Environmental Defense Fund versus Environmental Protection Agency* involving the 1997 transportation conformity amendments. The rule will have to be amended again to reflect the court opinion. The rule and the recent court opinion are discussed in detail in Chapter 1.

The rule applies nationwide to “all nonattainment and maintenance areas for transportation-related criteria pollutants for which the area is designated nonattainment or has a maintenance plan” (40 CFR 93.102). Currently, portions of Maricopa County are designated as nonattainment areas with respect to the national ambient air quality standards for three criteria pollutants, carbon monoxide (CO), ozone, and particulate matter under ten microns in diameter (PM-10). Therefore, transportation plans and programs for the nonattainment areas for the Maricopa County area must satisfy the requirements of the federal transportation conformity rule.

Under the federal transportation conformity rule, the principal criteria for a determination of conformity for transportation plans and programs are:

- (1) the TIP and Long Range Transportation Plan must pass an emissions budget test with a budget that has been found to be adequate by EPA for transportation conformity purposes, or an emissions reduction test;
- (2) the latest planning assumptions and emission models specified for use in air quality implementation plans must be employed;
- (3) the TIP and Long Range Transportation Plan must provide for the timely implementation of transportation control measures (TCMs) specified in the applicable air quality implementation plans; and,
- (4) consultation.

Consultation generally occurs at the beginning of the conformity analysis process, on the proposed methodology for the upcoming analysis and the projects to be assessed, and at the end of the process, on the draft report. The final determination of conformity for the FY 2002-2006 MAG Transportation Improvement Program and the Long Range Transportation Plan 2001 Update is the responsibility of the Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Transit Administration.

CONFORMITY TESTS

Conformity tests specified in the federal transportation conformity rule are: (1) the emissions budget test [40 CFR 93.118], and (2) the emissions reduction test [40 CFR 93.119]. For the emissions budget test, predicted emissions for the TIP and LRTP must be less than or equal to the motor vehicle emissions budget specified in the approved air quality implementation plan or the emissions budget found to be adequate for transportation conformity purposes. If there is no approved air quality plan for a pollutant for which the region is in nonattainment or an emission budget is found to be inadequate for transportation conformity purposes, the emissions reduction test applies. The emissions reduction test has two components, a "Build/No-Build" component and/or a "less than 1990 emissions" component. For the "Build/No-Build" component, emissions predicted to occur following the implementation of the TIP and LRTP (the "Build" scenario) must be less than the emissions predicted to occur if the TIP and LRTP were not implemented (the "No-Build" scenario). For the "less than 1990 emissions" component, emissions for the "Build" scenario must be less than emissions levels in the year 1990.

The CO and PM-10 motor vehicle emissions budgets submitted in the MAG Serious Area Plans have been found to be adequate by EPA. A notice of adequacy, effective December 14, 1999, was issued by EPA in the *Federal Register*, finding that the submitted CO motor vehicle emissions budget contained in the MAG 1999 Serious Area Carbon Monoxide Plan for the Maricopa County Nonattainment Area was adequate for transportation conformity purposes (EPA, 1999b). The EPA also issued a notice of

adequacy in the *Federal Register*, effective April 21, 2000, finding that the submitted PM-10 motor vehicle emissions budget contained in the Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Particulate Plan for PM-10 was adequate for transportation conformity purposes (EPA, 2000a).

Chapter 1 summarizes the applicable air quality implementation plans and conformity tests for CO, ozone, and PM-10. For the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis, the emissions budget test was applied for CO, because the carbon monoxide emissions budget was found to be adequate by EPA in 1999 and there have been no violations of the CO standard at any monitor in the region since 1996. For ozone, an emissions budget test was performed for volatile organic compounds (VOC), because an approved SIP budget for VOC is contained in the Revised 1998 15 Percent Rate of Progress Federal Implementation Plan for ozone. Both the emissions budget test and the “Build/No-Build” component of the emissions reduction test were applied for PM-10. The emissions budget test and emissions reduction test were applied in this conformity analysis for PM-10, because EPA has not taken final approval action on the Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Particulate Plan for PM-10, including a request for a five-year extension of the attainment date to December 31, 2006. Unlike carbon monoxide and ozone, for which there have been no violations in four years, attainment of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for PM-10 has not been demonstrated at air quality monitors in the region.

RESULTS OF THE CONFORMITY ANALYSIS

A regional emissions analysis was conducted for the years 2006, 2015, and 2021 for each pollutant. All analyses were conducted using the latest planning assumptions and emissions models. The major conclusions of the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis are:

- For carbon monoxide, the total regional vehicle-related emissions associated with implementation of the TIP and Long Range Transportation Plan for all years tested are projected to be less than the emissions budget found to be adequate for transportation conformity purposes from the MAG 1999 Serious Area Plan for Carbon Monoxide. The applicable conformity test for CO is therefore satisfied. The results of the regional emissions analysis for CO are presented in Figure ES-1.
- For volatile organic compounds, the total regional vehicle-related emissions associated with implementation of the TIP and Long Range Transportation Plan for all years tested are projected to be less than the emissions budget specified in the applicable Revised 1998 15 Percent Rate of Progress Federal Implementation Plan for ozone. The conformity test for ozone is therefore satisfied. The results of the regional emissions analysis for VOC are presented in Figure ES-2.
- For PM-10, the total regional vehicle-related emissions associated with implementation of the TIP and Long Range Transportation Plan for all years tested are projected to be less than the emissions budget found to be adequate for transportation conformity purposes from the Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Particulate Plan for PM-10 and less than the corresponding “No-Build” scenarios.

The conformity tests for PM-10 are therefore satisfied. The results of the regional emissions analysis for PM-10 are presented in Figure ES-3.


- Implementation of the TIP and Long Range Transportation Plan will support and not impede the implementation of the TCMs that have been adopted as part of applicable air quality implementation plans. The current status of TCM implementation is documented in Chapter 5 of this report. Figure ES-4 presents the total funding programmed in the TIP for transportation projects that implement or provide for the timely implementation of transportation control measures and other air quality measures.
- Consultation has been conducted in accordance with federal requirements.

REPORT ORGANIZATION

The report contains six chapters which provide: (1) a review of the applicable federal and state conformity rules and requirements, air quality implementation plans, and conformity test requirements, (2) a discussion of the latest planning assumptions, (3) a summary of the transportation model characteristics, key socioeconomic data, and other data related to the land use and transportation system forecasts, (4) a description of the air quality modeling used to estimate emission factors and mobile source emissions, (5) documentation required under the federal conformity rule for transportation control measures, and (6) the results of the conformity analysis for the FY 2002-2006 MAG Transportation Improvement Program and the Long Range Transportation Plan 2001 Update.


Excerpts from the applicable air quality implementation plans, consultation documentation and other related information are contained in two volumes of appendices. Appendix B includes copies of memoranda (methodology and list of regionally significant projects) previously circulated for consultation. The Appendix R includes a transcript of the July 3, 2001 public hearing conducted for the Draft FY 2002-2006 MAG Transportation Improvement Program, Draft Long Range Transportation Plan 2001 Update, and Draft 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis. The air quality and conformity comments received and responses made as part of the public comment process are included in Appendix S.

Figure ES-1: Carbon Monoxide Results for Conformity Budget Test




ES-5

Figure ES-2: Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) Results for Conformity Budget Test



ES-6


Figure ES-3: PM-10 Results for Conformity Budget Test and Emission Reduction (Build/No Build) Test



ES-7

Figure ES-4: Transportation Control Measure Funding in the Draft FY2002-2006 MAG Transportation Improvement Program

Figures are in millions of dollars



Total= \$2268.1 million.

An additional \$831.9 million is programmed for paving of streets, shoulders, and alleys and \$7.2 million is allocated for the purchase of PM-10 efficient street sweepers.

1 FEDERAL AND STATE REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS

The criteria for determining conformity of transportation programs and plans under the federal transportation conformity rule (40 Code of Federal Regulations Parts 51 and 93) and the applicable conformity tests for the Maricopa County region are summarized in this chapter. The 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis for the FY 2002-2006 MAG Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and the Long Range Transportation Plan 2001 Update (LRTP) was prepared based on these criteria and tests. Presented first is a review of the development of the applicable conformity rule and guidance procedures, followed by summaries of conformity rule requirements, air quality designation status, and conformity test requirements.

The Maricopa Association of Governments is the designated metropolitan planning organization (MPO) for the Maricopa County region in Arizona. As a result of this designation, MAG prepares the TIP, Long Range Transportation Plan, and the associated conformity analyses annually. The TIP serves as a detailed five-year guide for preservation, expansion, and management of public transportation services. The LRTP has a twenty-year horizon that provides the long term direction for the continued implementation of the MAG Freeway/Expressway Plan, as well as improvements to arterial streets, transit, and travel demand management programs. The LRTP includes capacity enhancements to the freeway/expressway system (e.g., widening of existing freeways, development of park and ride lots, addition of high occupancy vehicle lanes), commensurate with available funding.

FEDERAL AND STATE CONFORMITY RULES

Clean Air Act Amendments

Section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act (CAA, 1990) requires that Federal agencies and MPOs not approve any transportation project, program, or plan which does not conform with the approved State Implementation Plan. The 1990 amendments to the Clean Air Act expanded Section 176(c) to more explicitly define conformity to an implementation plan to mean:

Conformity to the plan's purpose of eliminating or reducing the severity and number of violations of the national ambient air quality standards and achieving expeditious attainment of such standards; and that such activities will not (i) cause or contribute to any new violation of any standard in any

area; (ii) increase the frequency or severity of any existing violation of any standard in any area; or (iii) delay timely attainment of any standard or any required interim emission reductions or other milestones in any area.

The expanded Section 176(c) also provided conditions for approval of transportation plans, programs, and projects; requirements that the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) promulgate conformity determination criteria and procedures no later than November 15, 1991; and a requirement that States submit their conformity procedures to EPA by November 15, 1992. The initial November 15, 1991 deadline for conformity criteria and procedures was not met by EPA.

Federal Rule

Supplemental interim conformity guidance was issued on June 7, 1991 (EPA/DOT, 1991a and 1991b) for carbon monoxide (CO), ozone (O₃), and particulate matter ten microns or less in diameter (PM-10). The applicable period of this guidance was designated as Phase 1 of the interim period. EPA subsequently promulgated the Conformity Final Rule, in the November 24, 1993 *Federal Register* (EPA, 1993). The Rule became effective on December 27, 1993.

The federal Transportation Conformity Final Rule has been revised three times since its initial release. The first set of amendments, finalized on August 7, 1995, (EPA, 1995b) aligned the dates of conformity lapses due to SIP failures with the application of Clean Air Act highway sanctions for certain ozone areas and all areas with disapproved State Implementation Plans (SIP) with a protective finding.

The second set of amendments was finalized on November 14, 1995 (EPA, 1995c). This set allowed any transportation control measure (TCM) from an approved SIP to proceed during a conformity lapse, and aligned the date of conformity lapses with the date of application of Clean Air Act highway sanctions for any failure to submit or submissions of an incomplete control strategy SIP. The second set also corrected the nitrogen oxides (NO_x) provisions of the transportation conformity rule consistent with the Clean Air Act and previous commitments made by EPA. Finally, the amendments extended the grace period before which areas must determine conformity to a submitted control strategy SIP, and established a grace period before which transportation plan and program conformity must be determined in recently designated nonattainment areas. This grace period was later overturned in *Sierra Club versus EPA* in November 1997.

The third set of amendments was finalized August 15, 1997 (EPA, 1997a). This set streamlined the conformity process by eliminating the reliance on the classification system of “Phase II interim period,” “transitional period,” “control strategy period,” and “maintenance period” to determine whether the budget test and/or emission reduction tests apply. The third set also changed the time periods during which the budget test and the “Build/No Build” test are required.

State Rule

State rules for transportation conformity were adopted on April 12, 1995, by the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ), in response to requirements in Section 176(c)(4)(C) of the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (ADEQ, 1995). These rules became effective upon their certification by the Arizona Attorney General on June 15, 1995 and, as required by the federal conformity rule, were submitted to EPA as a revision to the State transportation conformity SIP.

To date, a State transportation conformity SIP has not received approval by EPA. Section 51.390(b) of the federal conformity rule states: "Following EPA approval of the State conformity provisions (or a portion thereof) in a revision to the applicable implementation plan, conformity determinations would be governed by the approved (or approved portion of the) State criteria and procedures." The federal transportation conformity rule therefore still governs, as a transportation conformity SIP has not yet been approved for this area.

The State rule specifies that MPOs (MAG, in this case) must develop specific conformity guidance and consultation procedures and processes. MAG has developed and adopted two conformity guidance documents to meet State requirements. MAG developed the "Transportation Conformity Guidance and Procedures" document, which was adopted initially on September 27, 1995 by the MAG Regional Council. The document was revised by the MAG Regional Council on March 27, 1996 (MAG, 1996b). This guidance document addresses both the determination of "regional significance" status for individual transportation projects, and the process by which regionally significant projects may be approved.

MAG also developed the "Conformity Consultation Processes" document, which was adopted on February 28, 1996 by the MAG Regional Council (MAG, 1996a). This guidance document details the public and interagency consultation processes to be used in the development of regional transportation plans, programs, and projects within the Maricopa County nonattainment area.

Case Law

On November 14, 1997, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia issued an opinion in *Sierra Club versus Environmental Protection Agency* involving the 1995 transportation conformity amendment that allowed new nonattainment areas a one-year grace period. Conformity now applies as soon as an area is designated nonattainment. The EPA issued a final rule on April 10, 2000 in the Federal Register deleting 40 CFR 93.102(d) that allowed the grace period for new nonattainment areas (EPA, 2000b).

On March 2, 1999, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia issued an opinion in *Environmental Defense Fund versus Environmental Protection Agency* involving the 1997 transportation conformity amendments. In general, the court: struck down 40 CFR

93.120(a)(2) which permitted a 120-day grace period after disapproval of a SIP; determined that the EPA must approve a “safety margin” prior to its use for conformity in 40 CFR 93.124(b); concluded that a submitted SIP budget must be found by EPA to be adequate, based on criteria found in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4) before it can be used in a conformity determination; and, ended a provision that allowed “grandfathered” projects to proceed during a conformity lapse. Following the court ruling, the EPA and U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) issued guidance to address implementation of conformity requirements based on the court findings. The EPA issued guidance contained in a May 14, 1999 memorandum (EPA, 1999c). In addition, the USDOT issued guidance on June 18, 1999 that incorporates all USDOT guidance in response to the court decision in a single document (USDOT, 1999). The Environmental Protection Agency and U.S. Department of Transportation will be working together to formalize the guidance issued by proposing and ultimately finalizing amendments to the conformity rule.

Table 1-1 summarizes the criteria for conformity determinations for transportation projects, programs, and plans, as specified in the third amendment to the federal conformity rule. In absence of forthcoming amendments to the conformity rule by EPA, the table denotes modifications to sections as found by the court ruling.

CONFORMITY RULE REQUIREMENTS

The federal regulations identify general criteria and procedures that apply to all transportation conformity determinations, regardless of pollutant and implementation plan status. These include:

- 1) **Conformity Tests** — Sections 93.118 and 93.119 specify emissions tests (budget and emissions reduction) that the TIP and LRTP must satisfy in order for a determination of conformity to be found. Guidance issued by EPA on May 14, 1999, as a result of the March 2, 1999 court opinion, requires a submitted SIP motor vehicle emissions budget to be affirmed as adequate by the EPA prior to use for making conformity determinations.
- 2) **Methods / Modeling:**
 - Latest Planning Assumptions** — Section 93.110 specifies that conformity determinations must be based upon the most recent planning assumptions in force at the time of the determination. This section also requires reasonable assumptions to be made with regard to transit service and changes in projected fares.
 - Latest Emissions Models** — Section 93.111 requires that the latest emissions estimation models specified for use in SIPs must be used for the conformity analysis.
- 3) **Timely Implementation of TCMs** — Section 93.113 provides a detailed description of the steps necessary to demonstrate that the new TIP and

TABLE 1-1. Conformity Criteria from the Final Rule

Applicability	Pollutant	Section	Requirement
All Actions at All Times	CO, O ₃ , PM-10	93.110	Latest Planning Assumptions
		93.111	Latest Emissions Model
		93.112	Consultation
LRTP	CO, O ₃ , PM-10	93.113(b)	TCMs
		93.118* or 93.119	Emissions Budget or Reduction
TIP	CO, O ₃ , PM-10	93.113(c)	TCMs
		93.118* or 93.119	Emissions Budget or Reduction
Project (From a Conforming Plan and TIP)	CO, O ₃ , PM-10	93.114	Currently Conforming Plan and TIP
		93.115	Project From a Conforming Plan and TIP
	CO and PM-10	93.116	CO and PM-10 Hot Spots
	PM-10	93.117	PM-10 Control Measures
Project (Not From a Conforming Plan or TIP)	CO, O ₃ , PM-10	93.113(d)	TCMs
		93.114	Currently Conforming Plan and TIP
	CO and PM-10	93.116	CO and PM-10 Hot Spots
	PM-10	93.117	PM-10 Control Measures
	CO, O ₃ , PM-10	93.118* or 93.119	Emissions Budget or Reduction

Source: Modified from 40 CFR Parts 51 and 93 Transportation Conformity Rule Amendments: Flexibility and Streamlining; Final Rule, Section 91.109(b), "Table 1 - Conformity Criteria".

*As modified by court ruling in EDF v. EPA

LRTP are providing for the timely implementation of TCMs, as well as demonstrate that the plan and/or program is not interfering with this implementation. Full documentation of this demonstration is included in the TIP.

- 4) Consultation— Section 93.105 requires that the conformity determination be made in accordance with the consultation procedures outlined in the federal regulations. These include:
- MAG is required to provide reasonable opportunity for consultation with State air agencies, local air quality and transportation agencies, the USDOT and EPA (Section 93.105(a)(1)).
 - MAG is required to establish a proactive public involvement process which provides opportunity for public review and comment prior to taking formal action on a conformity determination (Section 93.105(e)).

Under the interagency consultation procedures, the Long Range Transportation Plan is prepared by MAG staff with guidance from the MAG Management Committee and MAG Regional Council. Drafts of the LRTP are provided to MAG member agencies and others, including the Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Arizona Department of Transportation, Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, Maricopa County Environmental Services Department, and the Regional Public Transportation Authority for review. The LRTP is required to be publicly available and an opportunity for public review and comment is provided.

The TIP is prepared by MAG with the assistance of the MAG Modal Committees and the Transportation Review Committee. Drafts of the TIP are provided to MAG member agencies and others, including the Arizona Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration, Regional Public Transportation Authority, Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and Maricopa County Environmental Services Department for review. Like the LRTP, the TIP is required to be publicly available and an opportunity for public review and comment is provided. The MAG consultation process for the conformity analysis includes a 30-day comment period followed by a public hearing that is conducted jointly for the TIP and Long Range Transportation Plan.

The latest planning assumptions for the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis are presented in Chapter 2. Documentation of the transportation and air quality modeling used for this conformity determination is provided in Chapters 3 and 4, respectively. Documentation

of transportation control measure implementation is presented in Chapter 5. Results of the conformity analysis are documented in Chapter 6.

AIR QUALITY DESIGNATIONS

Portions of Maricopa County are currently designated as nonattainment for the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for carbon monoxide (CO), ozone, and particulate matter under ten microns in diameter (PM-10). Air quality plans have been prepared to address CO, ozone, and PM-10:


- The MAG 1999 Serious Area Plan for Carbon Monoxide was submitted to EPA in July 1999. A Revised MAG Serious Area Carbon Monoxide Plan, reflecting the repeal of the remote sensing program by the Arizona Legislature in 2000, was submitted to EPA in March 2001.
- The EPA approved and promulgated a Revised 1998 15 Percent Rate of Progress Plan for Ozone (Revised ROP FIP) for the Maricopa County nonattainment area, effective August 5, 1999.
- The Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Particulate Plan for PM-10 was submitted to EPA in February 2000.

A summary of the attainment status for each pollutant for the Maricopa County region is provided below, followed by a summary of the applicable conformity test requirements for each pollutant.

Attainment Status

Nonattainment areas in the Maricopa County region are shown in Figure 1-1. The carbon monoxide and ozone areas share a common boundary, encompassing 1,962 square miles (approximately 22 percent) of the county. These boundaries were originally specified in 1974. Following promulgation of the PM-10 standard in 1987, EPA identified a larger area as nonattainment for PM-10 in 1990. The PM-10 nonattainment area encompasses 2,916 square miles, consisting of a 48 by 60 mile rectangular grid in eastern Maricopa County, plus a six by six mile section that includes a portion of the City of Apache Junction in Pinal County.

Following the requirements of the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments, EPA initially identified the MAG region as a "Moderate" Carbon Monoxide nonattainment area, with a design value of 12.6 parts per million (ppm), exceeding the current NAAQS of 9.0 ppm. The CO standard was not achieved by the Clean Air Act deadline of December 31, 1995. The area was reclassified to "Serious" by operation of law in July 1996, with an effective date of August 28, 1996 (EPA, 1996b). The new carbon monoxide attainment date is



December 31, 2000. It is important to note that no CO violations have occurred in the past four calendar years (1997, 1998, 1999, and 2000). The State, in a July 23, 1999 letter, requested a carbon monoxide attainment determination from the EPA.

The Maricopa County nonattainment area was classified as a Moderate Area for the 1-hour ozone standard under the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments. The standard was not achieved by the deadline of November 19, 1996. EPA reclassified the area on November 6, 1997 to "Serious" for ozone (EPA, 1997b), effective February 13, 1998 (EPA, 1998a). The new ozone attainment date is November 19, 1999. It is important to note that no violations of the 1-hour ozone standard have occurred in the past four calendar years (1997, 1998, 1999, and 2000). The State, in a February 21, 2000 letter, requested an ozone attainment determination. On May 19, 2000, the Environmental Protection Agency published a proposed rulemaking for the determination of attainment of the 1-hour ozone standard (EPA, 2000c). On May 30, 2001, EPA published a final determination of attainment of the one-hour ozone standard for the Maricopa County nonattainment area in the *Federal Register*.

Under Section 107(d)(4) of the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments, the region was initially classified as a "Moderate" area for PM-10, with an attainment deadline of December 31, 1994. The standard was not achieved by the attainment deadline. EPA reclassified the region to "Serious" in May 1996, with an effective date of June 10, 1996 (EPA, 1996a). The Clean Air Act attainment date is December 31, 2001 for Serious PM-10 Areas; however the Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Particulate Plan for PM-10 contains a request to extend the attainment date to December 31, 2006, as allowed in the Clean Air Act Amendments.

CONFORMITY TEST REQUIREMENTS

Specific conformity test requirements established for the MAG nonattainment areas for carbon monoxide, ozone, and PM-10 are summarized below. EPA has issued notices of adequacy for the carbon monoxide motor vehicle emissions budget (December 14, 1999) and the PM-10 motor vehicle emissions budget (April 21, 2000) used in the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis. In addition, EPA has proposed approval of the Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Particulate Plan for PM-10 (April 13, 2000). The EPA-approved and promulgated Revised Rate of Progress Federal Implementation Plan, effective August 5, 1999, establishes the motor vehicle emissions budget for volatile organic compounds (VOC) used in conducting the conformity budget test for the Maricopa County ozone nonattainment area.

Carbon Monoxide

The MAG 1999 Serious Area Carbon Monoxide Plan for the Maricopa County Nonattainment Area was submitted to the EPA in July 1999 (MAG, 1999). The MAG 1999 Serious Area Carbon Monoxide Plan used the required EPA emissions model to assess the emissions reduction measures required to demonstrate attainment and established a CO emissions budget of 411.6 metric tons per day for 2000 for the modeled area. The

Environmental Protection Agency issued a notice of adequacy effective December 14, 1999 in the *Federal Register* finding that the submitted CO motor vehicle emissions budget contained in the MAG 1999 Serious Area Carbon Monoxide Plan for the Maricopa County Nonattainment Area was adequate for transportation conformity purposes (EPA, 1999b). The regional emissions analysis projected for the “Build” scenario for the TIP and LRTP must be less than this budget.

A Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Carbon Monoxide Plan for the Maricopa County Nonattainment Area was submitted to the EPA in March 2001 (MAG, 2001). The Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Carbon Monoxide Plan is based on revised air quality modeling to reflect the repeal of the Random Onroad Testing Requirements (Remote Sensing Program) from the Vehicle Emissions Inspection Program by the Arizona Legislature in 2000. The Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Carbon Monoxide Plan used the required EPA emissions model to assess the emission reduction measures required to demonstrate attainment and established a carbon monoxide emissions budget of 412.2 metric tons per day for 2000 for the modeled area. After EPA issues a notice of adequacy for the revised motor vehicle emissions budget in the Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Carbon Monoxide Plan, the revised CO emissions budget of 412.2 metric tons per day will replace the budget of 411.6 metric tons per day used in this conformity analysis.

The budget test for the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis employs the emissions budget of 411.6 metric tons per day from the MAG 1999 Serious Area Carbon Monoxide Plan. The revised motor vehicle emissions budget (412.2 metric tons per day) in the Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Carbon Monoxide Plan, submitted to EPA in March 2001, is higher and, therefore, less stringent, than the budget used in this conformity analysis (411.6 metric tons per day). The Environmental Protection Agency found the budget used in this conformity analysis to be adequate for transportation conformity purposes on December 14, 1999. It is important to note that there have been no violations of the CO standards at any monitor in the region for the last four years.

Ozone

Ozone is a secondary pollutant, generated by chemical reactions in the atmosphere involving volatile organic compounds and nitrogen oxides. The Environmental Protection Agency approved and promulgated a Revised Rate of Progress (ROP) Federal Implementation Plan (FIP) for the Maricopa County nonattainment area, effective August 5, 1999, that established a motor vehicle emission budget for VOC of 87.1 metric tons for an average summer (ozone) season day (EPA, 1999a). Since the Revised ROP FIP budget was established in an applicable implementation plan, the approved budget test applies and the emissions reduction tests (“Build/No Build” and less than 1990 emissions) do not apply. A Final Serious Area Ozone State Implementation Plan For Maricopa County, submitted to EPA in December 2000, contains no air quality modeling or motor vehicle emissions budget (ADEQ, 2000). Therefore, this Serious Area Ozone Plan has no impact on conformity requirements, processes, or tests, as indicated by EPA in the May 30, 2001 final ruling notice.

On May 30, 2001, EPA published a final rulemaking notice determining that the Phoenix metropolitan serious ozone nonattainment area has attained the 1-hour ozone air quality standard by the Clean Air Act deadline of November 15, 1999. In the notice, EPA also determined that the Clean Air Act requirements for reasonable further progress, attainment demonstration, and contingency measures are not applicable as long as the Phoenix area continues to attain the 1-hour ozone standard.

Regarding the effect of the determination on transportation conformity, the notice indicates that EPA set the current ozone conformity budget for the Phoenix metropolitan area in the Federal 15 Percent Rate of Progress Plan. The determination that the 1-hour standard has been attained and that an attainment demonstration and Rate of Progress/Reasonable Further Progress demonstrations are not required will not affect the continued applicability of the existing budget (EPA, 2001) (see Appendix A).

Therefore, motor vehicle emissions budget for VOC has been established in the Revised Rate of Progress Federal Implementation Plan for the Maricopa County ozone nonattainment area. The Revised ROP FIP addresses reductions in VOC, and since it does not include a nitrogen oxides (NO_x) analysis, it does not establish a NO_x budget. The EPA Final Rule on conformity does not require emissions analysis for NO_x in areas for which the EPA Administrator has determined that nitrogen oxide emissions reductions would not contribute to attainment of the ozone standard. The State of Arizona petitioned EPA for a waiver of nitrogen oxides requirements in April 1994, based upon modeling results that showed NO_x reductions would not contribute to attainment. The waiver was approved by the EPA Administrator, effective April 11, 1995, and published in the April 19, 1995 *Federal Register* (EPA, 1995a) (see Appendix A).

PM-10

The Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Particulate Plan for PM-10 for the Maricopa County Nonattainment Area was submitted to the EPA in February 2000 (MAG, 2000a). The Clean Air Act attainment date is December 31, 2001 for Serious PM-10 Areas. However, the Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Particulate Plan for PM-10 contains a request to extend the attainment date to December 31, 2006, as allowed in the Clean Air Act Amendments. The Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Particulate Plan for PM-10 used the required EPA emissions model to assess the emissions reduction measures required to demonstrate attainment and established a PM-10 emissions budget of 59.7 metric tons per day applicable for both the annual average and 24-hour PM-10 standards in 2006 for the modeled area. The EPA issued a notice of adequacy, effective April 21, 2000 in the *Federal Register*, finding that the submitted PM-10 motor vehicle emissions budget contained in the Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Particulate Plan for PM-10 was adequate for transportation conformity purposes (EPA, 2000a). The regional emissions analysis projected for the "Build" scenario for the TIP and LRTP must be less than the budget established by this Plan. EPA has also announced proposed approval of provisions in the Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Particulate Plan for PM-10 in an April 13, 2000 *Federal Register* notice.

In addition, for a transportation plan, program, or project to be found in conformity, in the absence of a budget for PM-10 established by an applicable air quality plan, the federal conformity rule (93.119) requires that one of the following emissions reduction tests be satisfied:

- 1) Emissions from the proposed TIP and LRTP (“Build”) case must be less than those for the base (“No-Build”) case; or
- 2) Emissions from the proposed TIP and LRTP must not exceed 1990 levels.

The former, “Build-No Build” test, is also applied in this conformity analysis for all years, since EPA has not taken final approval action on the Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Particulate Plan for PM-10, including a request for a five-year extension of the attainment date to December 31, 2006. Unlike carbon monoxide and ozone, for which there have been no violations in four consecutive years, attainment of the PM-10 standards has not been demonstrated at air quality monitors in the region.

Section 93.122(d)(2) of the federal conformity rule requires that PM-10 from construction-related fugitive dust be included in the regional PM-10 emissions analysis, if it is identified as a contributor to the nonattainment problem in a PM-10 implementation plan. The motor vehicle emissions budget, established in the Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Particulate Plan for PM-10, includes regional reentrained dust from travel on paved roads, vehicular exhaust, travel on unpaved roads, and road construction. Therefore, emissions from road construction are included as part of the PM-10 estimates developed for this conformity analysis.

ANALYSIS YEARS

For the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis, regional emissions have been estimated for the horizon years 2006, 2015, and 2021. For the selection of horizon years, the conformity rule requires: (1) that if the attainment year is in the time span of the transportation plan, it must be modeled; (2) the last year forecast in the transportation plan must be a horizon year; and (3) horizon years may be not more than ten years apart. The year 2006 is the attainment year for PM-10, 2015 represents an interim year, and 2021 is the last year of the Long Range Transportation Plan forecast period. The attainment years for ozone and carbon monoxide were 1999 and 2000, respectively. The years 1999 and 2000 are not affected by implementation of the TIP and have not been modeled. The year 2015 is an intermediate year that meets the federal conformity rule requirement that analysis years be no more than ten years apart.

It is important to note that the Clean Air Act allows the Environmental Protection Agency to extend the PM-10 attainment date for up to five years. The Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Particulate Plan for PM-10 contains a request to extend the attainment date to December 31, 2006, as allowed in the Clean Air Act Amendments. This Plan demonstrates attainment of the PM-10 standards by 2006.

2 LATEST PLANNING ASSUMPTIONS

The Clean Air Act states that “the determination of conformity shall be based on the most recent estimates of emissions, and such estimates shall be determined from the most recent population, employment, travel, and congestion estimates as determined by the metropolitan planning organization or other agency authorized to make such estimates.” On January 18, 2001, the USDOT issued guidance developed jointly with EPA to provide additional clarification concerning the use of latest planning assumptions in conformity determinations (USDOT, 2001).

Key elements of this new guidance are identified below:

- Areas are strongly encouraged to review and strive towards regular five-year updates of planning assumptions, especially population, employment and vehicle registration assumptions.
- The latest planning assumptions must be derived from the population, employment, travel and congestion estimates that have been most recently developed by the metropolitan planning organization (or other agency authorized to make such estimates) and approved by the metropolitan planning organization.
- Conformity determinations that are based on information that is older than five years should include written justification for not using more recent information. For areas where updates are appropriate, the conformity determination should include an anticipated schedule for updating assumptions.

A summary of the latest planning assumptions used in the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis is provided in Table 2-1. The methodology and scheduled updates for the planning assumptions are discussed below.

POPULATION AND EMPLOYMENT

In accordance with the Arizona Governor’s Executive Order 95-2, the population projections used for all State agency planning purposes and in MAG conformity analyses are updated every five years after a decennial or mid-decennial census. The latest population and employment projections by traffic analysis zone (TAZ) for 2000-2020 were approved by the MAG Regional Council in June 1997, based on data from the 1995 Special Census and the 1995 MAG Employment Survey. The travel and congestion

TABLE 2-1. Latest Planning Assumptions for MAG Conformity Determinations

<u>Assumption</u>	<u>Source</u>	<u>MAG Models</u>	<u>Next Scheduled Update</u>
Population	Under Governor's Executive Order 95-2, official County projections are updated every 5 years by the Arizona Department of Economic Security (DES) after a census; projections must be used by all agencies for planning purposes; latest TAZ projections were approved by MAG in June 1997, based on the 1995 Special Census for Maricopa County.	DRAM/EMPAL; SAM	New TAZ projections based on 2000 Census and DES interim projections may be approved by the MAG Regional Council in 2002. The U.S. Census Bureau recently announced that in-migration and out-migration by county will not be available until late 2003. In the meantime DES may prepare interim projections.
Employment	County control totals are based on the official DES population projections; the latest TAZ projections were approved by MAG in June 1997, based on the 1995 Special Census and 1995 MAG Employment Survey.	DRAM/EMPAL; SAM	New TAZ projections based on DES interim projections and MAG 2000 Employment Survey may be approved by the MAG Regional Council in 2002.
Traffic Counts	Transportation models were validated in 1998 using 4,500 traffic counts collected in 1995.	EMME/2	New traffic counts funded (\$75,000) in proposed MAG FY 2002 Unified Planning Work Program.
Vehicle Miles of Travel	Transportation models were calibrated in 1994 based on a 1989 home interview survey and a 1991 on-board bus survey.	EMME/2	Ongoing 4,000 Household Travel Survey funded (\$500,000) in MAG FY 2001 Unified Planning Work Program.
Speeds	Transportation models were validated using survey data on peak and off-peak highway speeds collected in 1993.	EMME/2	New speed study funded (\$300,000) in proposed MAG FY 2002 Unified Planning Work Program.
Vehicle Registrations	1999 vehicle registrations were provided by ADOT in MOBILE5a emissions model format.	MOBILE5a	Latest data available from ADOT in MOBILE6 model format.
Implementation Measures	Latest implementation status of commitments in prior SIPs.	EXPLORA	Updated for every conformity analysis.

projections for the 2006, 2015, and 2021 “Build” scenarios in the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis are based on the TAZ population and employment projections approved by the MAG Regional Council in June 1997. It is important to note that the approved population and employment projections used in the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis are less than five years old, relative to the approval date by the MAG Regional Council.

Methodology

The Arizona Department of Economic Security (DES) prepares the official Arizona population projections by county, using census data. The DES projections for Maricopa County are “stepped down” to smaller geographic areas using MAG land use models. The nationally-recognized DRAM/EMPAL model allocates county projections of households and employment to 147 regional analysis zones (RAZs) based upon the pre-existing location of these activities, land consumption, and transportation system accessibility. The allocation of population and employment from RAZs to one-acre grids is accomplished with a MAG GIS-based Subarea Allocation Model (SAM) which assesses the suitability of each grid for development based on measures such as adjacent land use, highway access, and proximity to other development. Population and employment at the one-acre level is also aggregated to TAZs using SAM.

Next Scheduled Update

The next update of the TAZ population and employment projections will be based on DES county population projections, the 2000 Census, 2000 MAG Employment Survey, and ongoing MAG GIS and Database Enhancement Study. The U.S. Census has recently announced that in-migration and out-migration by county will not be available until late 2002. Therefore, DES will not be able to prepare final county projections, based on the 2000 Census, until 2003. In the meantime, DES may prepare interim county projections, based on available 2000 Census data. If interim DES projections are available in early 2002, it is anticipated that MAG will allocate the Maricopa County projections to TAZs using the DRAM/EMPAL and SAM land use models. These interim projections may be approved by the MAG Regional Council in 2002.

TRAFFIC COUNTS

The transportation conformity rule Section 93.122(b)(1)(i) specifies that network-based transportation models need to be validated against observed counts for a base year that is not more than ten years prior to the date of the conformity determination. The MAG transportation models were validated in 1998, using approximately 4,500 traffic counts collected in 1995. The validation demonstrated a good statistical fit between actual and estimated daily traffic volumes, as measured by a root mean square error of 37.3 percent.

Methodology

MAG uses EMME/2 software to perform traffic and transit assignments. The MAG transportation models follow a four-step process: trip generation, trip distribution, mode choice, and traffic/transit assignment. Trip generation determines the number of person trips produced and attracted by traffic analysis zone (TAZ). Trip distribution links the productions and attractions by TAZ. The logit mode choice model determines the number of person trips allocated to each of the following modes: auto-drivers, carpools or transit (bus and rail). The mode choice model is sensitive to highway and transit travel times, as well as pricing variables such as automobile operating costs, parking costs, and transit fares. Highway and transit route choice is determined in the assignment step, based on operating costs, travel times, and distances. Capacity-restrained traffic assignments are performed for the AM peak period, the PM peak period, and the 24-hour period. A feedback loop between traffic assignment and trip distribution is utilized to achieve near-equilibrium highway speeds. A peak spreading model is applied to derive the AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes. The transportation models are fully documented in the MAG Report, "Task 8 - Transportation Model Documentation," (Barton-Aschman, 1994).

Next Scheduled Update

The proposed MAG FY 2002 Unified Planning Work Program contains \$75,000 for a MAG Traffic Count Study. It is anticipated that these counts will be used to validate the MAG transportation models.

VEHICLE MILES OF TRAVEL

The MAG transportation models were calibrated in late 1994 based on a 1989 household travel survey and a 1991 on-board bus survey. The models, described above, simulate peak and daily traffic volumes on more than 20,000 highway links, as well as transit trips on bus and rail routes. Vehicle miles of travel (VMT) by link, output by the highway assignment process, are input to the emissions models used in conformity.

Methodology

The MAG transportation models calculate vehicle miles of travel by multiplying the traffic volume on each highway link by the length of the link. Vehicle miles of travel estimated by the MAG transportation models closely tracks real-world data. This is evidenced by a comparison of model output with actual VMT produced by the Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS). As a commitment in the Serious Area Carbon Monoxide Plan, MAG submits annual VMT tracking reports to EPA. In the latest report to EPA, dated September 11, 2000, the 1999 VMT for the CO nonattainment area estimated by the MAG transportation models was within one percent of the actual 1999 HPMS VMT reported to FHWA by the Arizona Department of Transportation (MAG, 2000c).

Next Scheduled Update

Updates to the transportation models are underway, including improvements to the mode choice model (i.e. nested logit) and implementation of release 9.0 of the EMME/2 software. The MAG FY 2001 Unified Planning Work Program programmed \$500,000 to conduct an activity diary-based travel survey of 4,000 households. The survey instruments have been designed and tested and will be distributed to randomly-selected households during the Spring of 2001. It is anticipated the results of the survey will be used to update and recalibrate the transportation models.

SPEEDS

In addition to vehicle miles of travel, the MAG transportation models produce measures of system performance such as vehicle hours of travel and level of service. AM peak, PM peak, and daily speeds by highway link are derived from estimated traffic volumes and capacities by the MAG transportation models.

Methodology

A five-iteration feedback loop is executed between the traffic assignment and trip distribution steps to ensure that the final peak and daily speeds produced by the transportation models are near-equilibrium. Periodically, MAG conducts speed studies to compare model-estimated speeds with empirical data. The last speed study was conducted in 1993. A comparison of model-estimated and observed vehicle hours of travel (VHT) for the PM peak period is provided in Table 2-2. Overall, the transportation model-estimated VHT for 1993 is eight percent higher than the VHT observed in the speed study. Since VMT/VHT is equivalent to average speed, VHT is inversely-related to average speed. On average, the modeled speeds for the region are eight percent lower than observed speeds, although for some facility and area types (i.e. freeways and arterials in the Central Business District, suburban freeways, rural arterials), modeled speeds are higher than the observed. It should be noted that there may be considerable variation in these estimates on a link-by-link basis.

Next Scheduled Update

The proposed MAG FY 2002 Unified Planning Work Program contains \$300,000 for a MAG Speed Study. It is anticipated that these speeds will be used to validate the MAG transportation models.

VEHICLE REGISTRATIONS

Vehicle registrations for 1999 are the latest provided to MAG in MOBILE5a format by the Arizona Department of Transportation, Motor Vehicle Division. The 1999 vehicle

TABLE 2-2.
Ratio of Estimated/Observed Vehicle Hours of Travel*
1993 PM Peak Period

Facility Type	Area Type **					
	1	2	3	4	5	All
Freeway	0.962	1.180	1.170	0.978	1.123	1.112
Expressway	----	1.378	1.172	1.294	----	1.271
Collector	----	1.088	1.458	1.277	1.103	1.299
6-Leg Arterial	0.768	0.940	1.469	1.074	----	1.217
Arterial	0.976	1.098	1.081	1.063	0.966	1.066
Freeway Ramp	----	----	1.202	----	----	1.202
Total	0.950	1.107	1.107	1.062	0.986	1.080

*Vehicle Miles of Travel/Vehicle Hours of Travel=Average Speed

** Area Type 1 = Central Business District
Area Type 2 = Outlying
Area Type 3 = Mixed Urban
Area Type 4 = Suburban
Area Type 5 = Rural

registration distribution has been used to estimate emissions for all three pollutants (i.e. CO, VOC, PM-10). MAG will use updated vehicle registration data when available from ADOT in the format required by the MOBILE6 emissions model.

IMPLEMENTATION MEASURES

In the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis, emissions reduction credit has been assumed for the committed control measures, including the transportation control measures (TCMs), shown in Table 2-3. The emissions reductions assumed in this conformity analysis are conservative, because the committed measures in Table 2-3 do not represent all measures for which credit was taken in the Moderate and Serious Area Plans. The emissions reductions assumed for these committed measures reflect the latest implementation status of these measures. In future conformity analyses, MAG will continue to reflect the latest implementation status of all measures for which emissions reduction credits are assumed. As required by the conformity rule, the applicable TCMs are fully documented in Chapter 5 of the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis report.

TABLE 2-3.
SIP Control Measures Assumed in the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis

SIP Measure	Reference	Measure Description	Pollutant(s)
1	Serious Area CO Plan	Phased-In I/M Cutpoints	CO
3	Serious Area CO Plan	One-Time I/M Waiver	CO, O ₃
6	Serious Area CO Plan	Catalytic Converter Replacement Program	CO, O ₃
9	Serious Area CO Plan	Tougher Registration Enforcement	CO, O ₃
14 14	Serious Area CO Plan Serious Area PM-10 Plan	Clean Burning Gasoline	CO, O ₃ PM-10
21	Serious Area CO Plan	National LEV Program	CO, O ₃
25 26	Serious Area CO Plan Serious Area PM-10 Plan	Intelligent Transportation Systems	CO PM-10
41 58	Serious Area CO Plan Serious Area PM-10 Plan	Traffic Signal Synchronization	CO PM-10
12	Serious Area PM-10 Plan	Pre-1988 Heavy-Duty Diesel Vehicle Standards	PM-10
39	Serious Area PM-10 Plan	Strengthening and Better Enforcement of Fugitive Dust Control Rules-Construction Dust	PM-10
40	Serious Area PM-10 Plan	Reduce Particulate Emissions from Unpaved Roads and Alleys	PM-10
50	Serious Area PM-10 Plan	PM-10 Efficient Street Sweepers	PM-10
69	Serious Area PM-10 Plan	Paving, Vegetating, and Chemically Stabilizing Unpaved Access Points Onto Paved Roads	PM-10
70	Serious Area PM-10 Plan	Curbing, Paving, or Stabilizing Shoulders on Paved Roads	PM-10

Sources:

- (1) Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Particulate Plan for PM-10 for the Maricopa County Nonattainment Area, (MAG, 2000a).
- (2) MAG 1999 Serious Area Carbon Monoxide Plan for the Maricopa County Nonattainment Area, (MAG, 1999).

3 TRANSPORTATION MODELING

The transportation modeling performed for the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis is based on the latest planning assumptions, as required in the federal conformity rule (40 CFR 93.110) and documented in Chapter 2. A summary of the transportation model characteristics, key socioeconomic data, and other data related to the land use and transportation system forecasts is provided in this chapter.

TRANSPORTATION MODELS

For the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis, regional transportation modeling was performed using EMME/2 software for both highway and transit network assignments. The transportation models forecast daily, AM peak hour, and PM peak hour vehicle traffic, as well as transit ridership, for the MAG transportation modeling area.

The latest calibration of the transportation models was completed in late 1994, using data from the 1989 household travel survey and the 1991 on-board bus survey. The latest validation of the transportation models was completed in 1998 using 1995 traffic counts.

The MAG transportation models exhibit the following characteristics, that are consistent with requirements identified in the federal transportation conformity rule (Section 93.122):

- The 1995 traffic volumes simulated by the MAG transportation models have been validated against approximately 4,500 traffic counts. This validation demonstrated a good statistical fit between actual and estimated 24-hour traffic volumes, as measured by the root mean square error of 37.3 percent. The MAG transportation models are fully documented in the MAG report, "Task 8 - Transportation Model Documentation," (Barton-Aschman, 1994).
- The population, household, and employment inputs to the travel demand models are based on the latest socioeconomic projections adopted by the MAG Regional Council in June 1997. These projections were prepared using the DRAM/EMPAL land use model and the MAG Subarea Allocation Model, based on data from the 1995 Special Census and the 1995 MAG Employment Survey for Maricopa County.
- The population and employment projections used in the conformity analysis are consistent with the transportation system alternatives considered. In the MAG land use models, transportation system accessibility influences the allocation of population and employment to smaller geographic areas. The DRAM/EMPAL model distributes County-level projections of households and employment to 147 regional analysis zones (RAZs) based upon the pre-existing location of these activities, land use

consumption rates, and transportation system accessibility, expressed in terms of PM peak travel times. These congested travel times are derived from an appropriate EMME/2 capacity-restrained traffic assignment for each forecast year. The allocation of population, households, and employment from RAZs to one-acre grid cells is accomplished with the Subarea Allocation Model (SAM). SAM uses transportation system accessibility measures, such as proximity to the closest highway, in determining the likelihood that a one-acre grid will develop during a given forecast interval. SAM also aggregates population, households, and employment projections by one-acre grid to the TAZ-level for input to EMME/2. The EMME/2 transportation models perform capacity-restrained traffic assignments. Restrained assignments are produced for the AM peak period, the PM peak period, and the 24-hour period, with volumes and congestion estimated for each period. A peak spreading model is used to derive AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes.

- Speeds obtained from the capacity-restrained traffic assignments are “fed-back” in the travel demand modeling chain. The trip distribution, mode choice, and traffic assignment steps of the chain are executed five times, until near-equilibrium speeds are achieved. The travel impedances used in the mode choice model include travel times and costs associated with each of three modes: auto-drivers, carpools, and transit (i.e. bus and rail).
- The travel impedances used in the trip distribution and traffic assignment steps of the MAG travel demand models are a composite function of highway travel times and costs. The MAG multinomial logit mode choice model is sensitive to highway and transit travel times, as well as pricing considerations, such as automobile operating costs, parking costs, and transit fares.
- As a result of the five-iteration feedback loop in the MAG travel demand modeling process, the final peak and off-peak speeds are sensitive to the capacity-restrained volumes on each highway segment represented in the network. Data from the 1993 MAG Travel Speed Study has been used to ensure that the capacity-restrained speeds and delays output by the transportation models are consistent with empirical data. The assigned speeds used in the last iteration of the models are in reasonable agreement with speed data collected in the 1993 MAG Travel Speed Study (MAG, 1995). Table 2-2 provides a comparison of 1993 model-estimated and observed vehicle hours of travel (VHT) for the PM peak period. Overall, the model-estimated PM peak VHT for 1993 was eight percent higher than the 1993 survey data. MAG plans to conduct a new speed study in FY 2002 in order to validate the VHT, speeds, and other performance measures output by the latest transportation models (see Table 2-1).
- The MAG travel demand models estimate average weekday traffic, while the Arizona Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) reports annual average daily traffic. When these differences are reconciled and the small variations in boundaries are discounted, MAG transportation model estimates of 1999 VMT are within one percent of the 1999 HPMS VMT that the Arizona Department of Transportation reported to the Federal Highway Administration on August 1, 2000 (MAG, 2000c).

SOCIOECONOMIC PROJECTIONS

Section 93.110 of the federal conformity rule requires that the population and employment projections used in the conformity analysis must be the most recent estimates that have been officially approved by the Metropolitan Planning Organization (i.e. MAG, for this region). The projections used in the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis are based on county population control totals developed by the Arizona Department of Economic Security (DES) in accordance with Executive Order 95-2. State agencies are required to use these projections for all planning purposes except where otherwise noted in the State Statutes. The Maricopa County projections developed by DES were allocated to regional analysis zones using the DRAM/EMPAL land use model, and to one-acre grids, using the MAG geographic information system (GIS)-based Subarea Allocation Model. Traffic Analysis Zone (TAZ) projections were obtained by summing population, households, and employment from the one-acre grids. The population, household, and employment projections by TAZ for 2000-2020 were officially approved by the MAG Regional Council in June 1997.

The approved TAZ population, households, and employment projections take into account transportation improvements contained in the conforming TIP (FY 1997-2001) and LRTP (1996 Update) in effect at the time the projections were adopted. For the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis, the approved population, households, and employment by TAZ were input to the MAG transportation models to estimate auto and transit trips, vehicle miles of travel (VMT), speeds, and congestion for each "Build" scenario. The population and employment projections used in the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis, together with the resulting estimates of VMT, vehicle speeds, and freeway lane miles for each scenario, are summarized in Table 3-1. In 2002, MAG plans to use the DRAM/EMPAL and SAM land use models to prepare a new set of TAZ projections, based on DES interim county population projections, the 2000 Census, 2000 MAG Employment Survey and ongoing MAG GIS and Database Enhancement Study. It is anticipated that these new projections may be adopted by the MAG Regional Council in 2002 (see Table 2-1).

For the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis, MAG applied DRAM/EMPAL and SAM to create "No-Build" population and employment distributions compatible with the "No-Build" transportation network. Approved TAZ projections for 2000, 2005, 2010, 2015 and 2020 were assigned to a 2000 highway network using EMME/2 to obtain capacity-restrained PM peak travel times. The PM peak travel times were then input to DRAM/EMPAL to distribute county-level projections of households and employment to Regional Analysis Zones (RAZs). The county-level projections, provided by DES, were assumed to be the same for the "No-Build" and "Build" scenarios. The population, households and employment were allocated from RAZs to one-acre grids and then aggregated to TAZs using SAM. The "No-Build" TAZ projections for 2006, 2015, and 2021 and the 2001 transportation network were input to EMME/2 to forecast vehicle travel for the "No-Build" scenarios. The vehicle travel estimates by link produced by the EMME/2 "No-Build" traffic assignments were input to the air quality models to estimate 2006, 2015 and 2021 "No-Build" emissions for the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis.

TABLE 3-1. Traffic Network Comparison for Scenarios Evaluated for 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis

Year	Total Population ^a (thousands)	Employment ^a (thousands)	Network	Scenario	Average Weekday VMT (millions)	Average P.M. Peak Speed ^b	Freeway Lane Miles ^c
1995	2,640	1,273	95newcalib3	Calibration	55.1	30.0	1,083
2006	3,528	1,675	2006bld	Build	80.8	30.6	1,853
			2006nb	No-Build	80.0	25.7	1,532
2015	4,231	1,958	2015bld	Build	98.8	30.7	2,301
			2015nb	No-Build	96.8	16.4	1,532
2021	4,702	2,118	2021bld	Build	110.1	27.9	2,301
			2021nb	No-Build	107.2	13.1	1,532

a Population and employment estimates are for the transportation modeling area. Total population includes resident population in households and group quarters, as well as transient and seasonal population. The employment estimates includes self-employed individuals.

b Average speeds on streets and freeways during the P.M. peak hour.

c Ramps and HOV lanes are included in the lane miles reported for freeways.

TRAFFIC ESTIMATES

Table 3-1, presented above, summarizes population, employment and travel characteristics for the MAG transportation modeling area for each scenario in the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis. Freeway lane mileage was derived from the MAG travel models and includes freeway ramps. The vehicle miles of travel forecasts for each of the pollutant modeling areas are presented in Appendix C.

Highway Network Assumptions

Not all of the street and freeway projects included in the TIP qualify for inclusion in the highway network. Projects which call for study, design, right-of-way acquisition, or non-capacity improvements have not been included in the networks. When projects result in actual facility construction projects, the associated capacity changes are coded into the network, as appropriate. Since the networks define capacity in terms of number of through traffic lanes, only construction projects that increase the lane-miles of through traffic are included.

Generally, MAG highway networks include only the one-mile grid system of streets, plus freeways. This includes all streets classified as arterials, as well as some collectors. Half-mile streets are not generally coded on the network because their inclusion would increase computer processing time to unacceptable levels (i.e. multiple days per scenario). For similar reasons, local street improvements contained in the TIP are not coded on the highway network.

Traffic on collectors and local streets not explicitly coded on the highway network is simulated in the models by use of abstract links called "centroid connectors". These represent collector streets, local streets, and driveways that connect a neighborhood to a regionally-significant roadway. Model estimates of centroid connector travel have been reconciled against 1993 HPMS estimates of collector and local street travel. Centroid connectors also include travel occurring on public and private unpaved roads.

Coding Conventions

Specific coding conventions or criteria are applied to determine whether a project qualifies for highway network coding. This results in the coding of all freeways, arterial streets and some collectors. The coding conventions are:

- (1) Capacity-related projects on existing links or extensions of existing links on the 2001 "No-Build" network are coded in future "Build" networks. This includes projects on freeways, the mile-street grid, and half-mile streets already on the 2001 network.
- (2) Capacity-related projects which are not on links or extensions of links in the 2001 "No-Build" network are coded if the street is considered a logical part of the one-mile street grid system. If the project is on a half-mile street, it is considered for inclusion on a case-by-case basis. The key factors considered in making this assessment include:

- the density of current and future development and travel in the area of the project,
- whether the change may be accommodated without increasing the number of zones, and
- whether the change is consistent with standard network coding practices.

2001 “No-Build” Highway Network

The 2001 “No-Build” highway network includes qualifying projects from the first year of the FY 2001-2005 MAG TIP and freeways scheduled to be open to traffic by December 31, 2001. This network was used to create all of the “No-Build” land use forecasts.

“Build” Highway Networks

The “Build” highway networks for the conformity analysis have been developed using the 2001 “No-Build” highway network as a base. The 2006 “Build” network includes qualifying projects from the FY 2002-2006 MAG TIP and freeways scheduled to be open to traffic by December 31, 2006. The 2015 and 2021 “Build” networks assume implementation of the MAG Long Range Transportation Plan, which includes completion of the full freeway plan and build-out of all planned streets, as well as qualifying projects scheduled in the TIP.

TRANSIT NETWORKS AND OPERATIONS

A transit network is input to the mode choice model to determine how many person trips are made by bus and rail, and concurrently, how many auto trips are removed from the highway. For the 2006, 2015, and 2021 “Build” scenarios, the bus networks are based on the latest assumptions provided by the Regional Public Transportation Authority. Buses are treated in the transportation and emissions models as heavy duty diesel vehicles.

Information on transit fares, ridership, and operating policies is provided in the Short Range Transit Report (RPTA, 2000; RPTA, 2001), which is prepared annually by the Regional Public Transportation Authority. Information on current transit fares is provided in Table 3-2. The information on fares and transit operations in this section of the conformity analysis is provided in response to federal transportation conformity requirements.

Current Fixed Route Service

Fixed route, scheduled service is provided to an area of approximately 600 square miles within the MAG region by Valley Metro Mesa, Valley Metro Phoenix, Valley Metro RPTA, Valley Metro Scottsdale Connection, and Valley Metro Tempe BOLT and Free Local Area Shuttle (FLASH), and Valley Metro Glendale Urban Shuttle (GUS) and Luke Link. Fixed route service includes 66 local routes and 21 routes of express bus service. There were

TABLE 3-2. Transit Fares in Effect at the Time of Completion of the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis

Type of Service		Full Fares in Effect Since 7/31/95
Cash Fare	Express	\$1.75
	Local	\$1.25
Passes and Tokens	10-Ride Ticket Book - Express	\$18.00
	Monthly Pass - Express	\$51.00
	10-Ride Ticket Book - Local	\$12.00
	All Day Pass - Local	\$3.60
	Monthly Pass -Local	\$34.00
	Semester Pass -Local	\$120.00
	Tokens (20)	\$12.00

Note: Discounted fares are available to senior citizens (age 65 or older), persons with disabilities, and youth age 6 through 18. Children under age 6 accompanied by a responsible fare paying adult are not charged a fare on local or express bus service.

Source: Regional Public Transportation Authority (2000).

37,496,804 total boardings in FY 1999-2000, which is an increase of approximately 0.3 percent over the previous fiscal year. Summary statistics for the fixed route services are provided below for the past fiscal year (FY 1999-2000).

- Valley Metro Mesa had a total of 1,082,764 boardings in 1,158,240 revenue miles and 82,226 revenue hours of service.
- Valley Metro Phoenix recorded 30,785,504 boardings in 11,267,172 revenue miles and 756,823 revenue hours of service.

- Valley Metro RPTA provided service carrying 2,820,321 passengers in the past year, traveling a total of 2,538,083 revenue miles in 194,796 revenue hours of service.
- Valley Metro Scottsdale Connection boarded 125,488 passengers in providing 434,933 revenue miles and 26,253 revenue hours of service.
- Valley Metro Tempe BOLT and FLASH reported 2,394,572 passenger boardings in 2,350,597 revenue miles and 192,313 revenue hours of service.
- Valley Metro Glendale Urban Shuttle and Luke Link boarded 50,530 passengers in the past year, traveling a total of 121,712 revenue miles in 8,069 revenue hours of service.

Other Existing Transit Services

Ten paratransit systems operate within Maricopa County, including East Valley Dial-A-Ride, El Mirage Dial-A-Ride, Glendale Dial-A-Ride, Guadalupe Special Services, Maricopa County/Red Cross Special Transportation Services, Paradise Valley Dial-A-Ride, Peoria Dial-A-Ride, Phoenix Dial-A-Ride, Sun Cities Area Transit System, Surprise Dial-A-Ride. All of these services operate within the area with fixed route bus service. The total number of boarding passengers in FY 1999-2000 was 968,120 in 6,100,013 revenue miles. RPTA and its member cities comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act complementary paratransit provisions for eligible persons. All dial-a-ride systems plan to continue demand response service to existing passengers in addition to persons certified as ADA paratransit eligible.

The Maricopa County/American Red Cross Special Transportation Services operates pre-scheduled service. Transportation is provided for eligible persons, which includes seniors, persons with disabilities, and low income individuals, for specific trip purposes in portions of Maricopa County unserved by other systems. This service provides individuals in outlying areas of the region with public transportation. Vanpool service operated by Valley Metro is discussed in Chapter 5, which reviews transportation control measures that have been implemented in the region.

Recent Transit Improvements

Subject to funding availability, transit service in the MAG region is being improved on an ongoing basis. The following major service improvements were made during the most recent fiscal year (RPTA, 2001):

- The City of Phoenix passed the Transit 2000 Plan in March 2000 which will provide funding for increased bus service, improved Dial-A-Ride service, the introduction of new services such as light rail service, bus rapid transit service, limited stop service and neighborhood circulator services.

- On many routes served by the City of Tempe, trips were added to provide service until about midnight and increase frequency.
- All routes that used to travel into the Tri-City Mall now travel to the East Valley Institute of Technology at Main Street and Longmore.
- Local route 3 (Van Buren Street) no longer extends from the Phoenix Zoo to Downtown Tempe. Flash Lite (Tempe local area shuttle) will service this segment.
- Local routes 7 (7th Street) and 8 (7th Avenue) have been extended on Saturday to match weekday routing.
- Local routes 30 (University Drive) and 31 (University Drive) were combined to provide service along University Drive from 32nd Street and Broadway to Power Road and the Superstition Springs Center.
- Local route 35 (35th Avenue) was extended south to Baseline Road.
- New local route 40 (Apache Boulevard) was implemented in Tempe only.
- Local route 43 (43rd Avenue) extended weekday and Saturday service, and the segment to Metrocenter was eliminated.
- Local route 44 (44th Street) extended service north along Tatum Boulevard from Paradise Valley Mall to the Phoenix Mayo Hospital. The section of route south of downtown Tempe/Arizona State University will be replaced by an extension of route 92 (48th Street/Guadalupe Road).
- Local routes 45 (Broadway Road) and 46 (Broadway Road) were combined into route 45 (Broadway Road) and service was extended to Power Road and Superstition Springs Center.
- Local route 60 (Bethany Home Road) Saturday service was extended to 67th Avenue to match weekday service.
- Local route 61 (Southern Avenue) trips were added to extend service to about midnight Monday through Saturday in Tempe.
- Local route 62 (Hardy Drive) had minor changes to the southern end point and service was increased during peak hours.
- New route 65 (Mill Avenue/Kyrene Road) was implemented.
- Local route 70 (Luke Link) became available to the general public.
- Local route 72 (Scottsdale and Rural Roads) service was increased during peak times in Scottsdale.

- Local route 77 (Baseline Road) Weekday and Saturday service was extended from Arizona Mills Mall to 39th Avenue and Baseline Road, and the segment to Diablo Stadium was eliminated.
- Local route 81 (Hayden/McClintock Roads) frequency was increased during peak hours.
- Local route 92 (48th Street/Guadalupe Road) was extended from Arizona Mills Mall to Downtown Tempe and service hours were extended Monday through Saturday.
- Local route 109 (Elliot Road) service was extended Monday through Saturday, and service terminates at Arizona Mills Mall instead of 48th Street and Warner Road.
- Local route 124 (Warner Road) service was extended Monday through Saturday, the western ending point was modified to serve 48th Street and Ray, and an extension was added to serve Corona Del Sol High School.
- New route 131 (Southwest Transit and Regional Transportation) was implemented.
- Local route 170 (Bell Road) was extended to Hayden Road on weekdays eliminating service to Paradise Valley Mall.
- Local route 186 (Union Hills Drive) was extended to Arrowhead Town Center.
- Express route 512 (Scottsdale/Fountain Hills) added an additional morning trip between 92nd Street and Shea Boulevard.
- Express route 532 (Mesa) service was extended to Power Road between McKellips Road and Decatur Street.
- Express route 570 (Glendale) was extended from 59th Avenue and Hayward Avenue to 59th Avenue and Myrtle Avenue to serve a park and ride.
- New local area shuttle service (Glendale Urban Shuttle) was implemented in Glendale only.
- Mesa/Chandler/Gilbert and Tempe/Scottsdale Dial-A-Rides became East Valley Dial-A-Ride, and zone 17 and zone 18 were modified.

The MAG transportation models and the highway and transit networks described above are utilized to estimate vehicle travel and transit ridership in the MAG transportation modeling area. The primary input to the air quality modeling process is transportation model estimates of daily vehicle traffic on each highway link, along with the attendant link lengths and coordinate data. A detailed description of the MAG emissions models is provided in Chapter 4.

4 AIR QUALITY MODELING

The models which have been used to estimate emission factors and emissions for carbon monoxide (CO), volatile organic compounds (VOC), and PM-10 are: MOBILE5a, for motor vehicle emission factors for CO and VOC; PART5, for particulate exhaust and fugitive dust emission factors; and EXPLORA, for the calculation of spatially and temporally aggregated onroad mobile emissions using the emission factors from the above models and travel data from the transportation model. Emission factors from the 1994 Regional PM-10 Emission Inventory for the Maricopa County Nonattainment Area (MAG, 1997) were used for the calculation of PM-10 from road construction; the methodology for this calculation is also summarized in this section. Nitrogen oxide emissions have not been estimated, because a NO_x waiver was granted by EPA in 1995 based upon modeling results that showed NO_x reductions would not contribute to attainment for ozone. For the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis, model inputs not dependent on the TIP or Long Range Transportation Plan were generally derived from the MAG 1999 Serious Area Carbon Monoxide Plan for the Maricopa County Nonattainment Area (MAG, 1999) and the Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Particulate Plan for PM-10 for the Maricopa County Nonattainment Area, (MAG, 2000a). The modeling efforts have been kept as consistent as possible among the three pollutants modeled. Some differences in the modeling assumptions are necessary due to the different time periods modeled (e.g. different temperatures, fuel properties, etc.) and emissions models used.

The USDOT guidance memo, "Use of Latest Planning Assumptions in Conformity Determinations," dated January 18, 2001, recommends that periodic inventory updates may be used as a source for recent modeling data (USDOT, 2001). The most recent periodic inventories available are the 1996 Base Year Carbon Monoxide Emission Inventory for Maricopa County, Arizona, Nonattainment Area (MCESD, 1998b) for CO and the 1996 Base Year Ozone Emission Inventory for Maricopa County, Arizona, Nonattainment Area for VOC (MCESD, 1999). These two inventories reflect conditions in 1996. It is important to note that the data used in developing regional emission estimates for the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis is as new as or newer than the data incorporated in the 1996 periodic inventories. Periodic inventories are currently under development for 1999.

Regional emissions have been estimated for the horizon years 2006, 2015, and 2021. The conformity rule requirements for the selection of the horizon years are contained in Chapter 1. Consultation on the general air quality modeling methodology applied for the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis was the subject of a memo distributed in March 2001. The memo is included in Appendix B with the rest of the consultation record.

CARBON MONOXIDE

For the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis, the applicable test for CO consists of a “Build” versus emissions budget test, as discussed in Chapter 1. The modeling attainment demonstration in the MAG 1999 Serious Area Carbon Monoxide Plan includes an onroad mobile source contribution of 411.6 metric tons per day, which represents the motor vehicle emissions budget for carbon monoxide based on design day conditions.

The overall modeling approach used in this analysis is consistent with that used to develop the emissions budget. More specifically, regional onroad emissions were modeled using the EMME/2 (traffic), MOBILE5a (emission factor), and EXPLORA (emissions calculation) models. Temperature and various adjustment factors from the Serious Area Carbon Monoxide Plan were also used throughout the conformity analysis for consistency.

Modeling Tools

The MOBILE5a model was used to estimate CO emission factors for the regional emissions analysis. Traffic data (vehicle miles traveled and capacities by link) were generated by the EMME/2-based transportation model. The EXPLORA model was used to calculate regional emissions using MOBILE5a emission factors and the traffic data. Committed control measures from the Serious Area Carbon Monoxide Plan were included in the conformity analysis, as appropriate. These measures are listed in Table 2-3; detailed descriptions can be found in the MAG 1999 Serious Area CO Plan.

Additional CO emissions reduction credit was taken to reflect \$16.1 million in funding for “Traffic Signal Synchronization” projects in the FY 2002-2006 TIP. The Serious Area CO Plan assumes signals at 550 intersections are synchronized by December 31, 2000, based on funding in prior TIPs and H.B. 2237. S.B. 1427, passed by the Arizona Legislature in 1998, requires cities and towns in area A to “synchronize traffic control signals on all existing and new roadways, within and across jurisdictional boundaries, which have a traffic flow exceeding fifteen thousand motor vehicles per day.” A GIS analysis was performed to determine that 776 intersections in the CO modeling area will meet a threshold daily traffic flow of 15,000 by 2006. Therefore, traffic signals at 226 additional intersections (776 minus 550) were assumed to be synchronized by 2006, based on new “Traffic Signal Synchronization” Projects in the FY 2002-2006 TIP.

MOBILE5a

The MOBILE5a model has been specified by EPA for use in SIPs. The model generates estimates of motor vehicle emission factors in units of grams of pollutant emitted per vehicle mile traveled (g/VMT). MOBILE5a uses a motor vehicle registration distribution of 25 model years, allowing it to capture the effects of the local vehicle age distribution. For the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis, 1999 vehicle registration data, obtained from the Arizona Department of Transportation, was used as input to MOBILE5a. MOBILE5a also incorporates fleet turnover to newer, cleaner vehicles over time, which counters the increase in regional emissions that could occur with growth in VMT. Other factors, such as fuel quality and vehicle speed, are also important.

Inspection and maintenance (I/M) program benefits were assumed in the modeling. The I/M runs reflect the provisions of the enhanced inspection program which was implemented in January 1995 and “Phased-in I/M Cutpoints” (Measure 1), implemented in January 2000. It was assumed that for the three years modeled in this analysis, the onboard diagnostic (OBD) test would be used for the model year 2001 and newer vehicles. This is due to the implementation of the “National LEV Program” (Measure 21), which was modeled for all three years examined. It is important to note that credit for the remote sensing program was not assumed in this conformity analysis due to its elimination in 2000 by House Bill 2104.

MOBILE5a runs were weighted to account for vehicles driving in the nonattainment area that do not participate in the I/M program. Therefore, each modeled scenario required runs with and without the I/M program benefits. For this analysis, it was assumed that 91.6 percent of eligible onroad vehicles participate in the I/M program. For all scenarios modeled for this analysis, the inputs for each run included oxygenated gasoline with an assumed market share of 100 percent ethanol, consistent with Measure 14, “Clean Burning Gasoline”. The gasoline volatility assumed was nine pounds per square inch. The average oxygen content of the ethanol blend gasoline was 3.5 percent by weight. For winter carbon monoxide scenarios, the modeled episode temperature range was 30 to 80 degrees Fahrenheit.

The output from the MOBILE5a model includes emission factors by vehicle type for a representative series of speeds and ambient temperatures. These emission factors were used in the EXPLORA program to estimate motor vehicle emissions for the MAG region.

EXPLORA

The EXPLORA computer program calculates emissions for the carbon monoxide modeling domain by combining the link and node data (e.g. volumes, capacities, facility type, area type) from the EMME/2 regional transportation model with the emission factors (by link speed and ambient temperature) generated by the MOBILE5a model. Other inputs to EXPLORA include factors used to allocate daily traffic volumes into hourly traffic volumes, and tables of average speed by area type, facility type, and volume to capacity (V/C) ratios. In addition to producing a gridded motor vehicle emissions output file, EXPLORA produces several files containing emissions and traffic data.

The committed measures, “One-Time I/M Waiver” (Measure 3) and “Catalytic Converter Replacement Program” (measure 6) are modeled using EXPLORA through the reduction of MOBILE5a waiver rate inputs. In addition, Measures 25 and 41, “Intelligent Transportation Systems” and “Traffic Signal Synchronization” are applied as post-processing adjustments to the EXPLORA output files.

OZONE

For the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis, the applicable conformity test for ozone is a “Build” versus emissions budget test, as discussed in Chapter 1. The budget was established in the Revised 1998 EPA 15 Percent Rate of Progress Federal Implementation Plan (Revised ROP FIP) (EPA, 1999a). The Revised ROP FIP includes an onroad mobile source contribution of 87.1 metric tons of VOC per average summer day.

Modeling Tools

The MOBILE5a model was used to estimate emission factors for VOC in the form of total organic gases (TOG) for the regional emissions analysis. As discussed in Chapter 1, emission estimates for NO_x, the other principal precursor to ozone, were not required for the conformity analysis. Traffic data (vehicle miles traveled and capacities by link) were generated with the EMME/2 transportation model. The EXPLORA model was used to calculate regional emissions using MOBILE5a emission factors and the traffic data. The “Phased-in I/M Cutpoints” and “Clean Burning Gasoline” measures from the Serious Area Plans were also included in this analysis (refer to Table 2-3).

MOBILE5a

The MOBILE5a model was executed for both the I/M program and non-I/M program vehicles. All runs reflected the implementation of the NLEV program. The model runs which include the I/M program incorporated an OBD test for 2001 and newer model year vehicles. Again, it was assumed that 91.6 percent of eligible onroad vehicles participate in the I/M program.

MOBILE5a also incorporated the effects of Federal Reformulated Gasoline (which was introduced in 1997), consistent with the Revised ROP FIP analysis. The range of temperatures specified in the modeling of emission factors was 80 to 110 degrees Fahrenheit, consistent with typical Maricopa County area ozone season temperatures.

The output from the MOBILE5a model includes emission factors for a representative series of speeds and temperatures, which are input to the EXPLORA model to estimate motor vehicle emissions for the region.

EXPLORA

The EXPLORA computer program calculates emissions for the ozone modeling domain by combining the link and node data (e.g. volumes, capacities, facility type, area type) from the EMME/2 regional transportation model with the emission factors (by link speed and ambient temperature) generated by the MOBILE5a model. Other inputs to EXPLORA include factors used to allocate daily traffic volumes into hourly traffic volumes and tables of average speed by area type, facility type, and volume to capacity (V/C) ratios. In addition to producing a gridded motor vehicle emissions output file, EXPLORA produces several files containing emissions and traffic data.

PM-10

For the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis, the applicable conformity tests for PM-10 are the “Build” versus emissions budget test and the “Build” versus “No Build” test, as discussed in Chapter 1. The modeling attainment demonstration in the Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Particulate Plan for PM-10 includes a motor vehicle emissions budget of 59.7 metric tons per day.

The modeling approach used in this analysis is consistent with that used to develop the emissions budget. Regional onroad emissions were modeled using the EMME/2 (traffic), PART5 (emission factor), and EXPLORA (emission calculation) models to estimate reentrained dust from travel on paved and unpaved roads, as well as vehicle exhaust emissions. In addition, fugitive dust from road construction was calculated; assumptions used in estimating PM-10 emissions from road construction are documented later in this section.

Modeling Tools

The PART5 model was used to estimate PM-10 emissions from onroad vehicles for the regional emissions analysis. Traffic data (vehicle miles traveled and capacities by link) were generated with the EMME/2 transportation model. The EXPLORA model was used to calculate regional emissions using PART5 emission factors and the traffic data. Committed measures from the Revised Serious Area PM-10 Plan were included in the conformity analysis, as appropriate. These measures are listed in Table 2-3; detailed descriptions can be found in the Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area PM-10 Plan.

In the Serious Area PM-10 Plan the emissions reduction credit taken for the measure “PM-10 Efficient Street Sweepers” assumes that one-half of the fleet (i.e. 48 sweepers) will be converted to Rule 1186-certified units by December 31, 2006. MAG allocated \$5.76 million in Federal funds in the FY 2001-2005 TIP to purchase these forty-eight PM-10 efficient sweepers. The FY 2002-2006 TIP provides an additional \$3.7 million in Federal funds to purchase a minimum of thirty-one additional sweepers. Local funds of 5.7 percent are required as a match. PM-10 emissions reduction credit for the 31 additional street sweepers funded in the TIP is assumed in the 2006 “Build” scenario.

PART5

The PART5 model is the current EPA recommended model for estimating particulate emissions from onroad vehicles. The model generates estimates of particulate emissions for vehicle exhaust, tire wear, brake wear, and fugitive dust from travel on paved and unpaved roads from onroad motor vehicles (both gasoline and diesel powered) in units of gram per vehicle mile traveled (g/VMT). PART5 uses a motor vehicle registration distribution of 25 model years. For this application, the registration distribution data used were consistent with the data used for the carbon monoxide and ozone analyses except that adjustments were made to reflect Measure 12, “Pre-1988 Heavy-Duty Diesel Vehicle Standards”, for the 2006 modeling run. This adjustment was not included in the 2015 and 2021 runs due to the 25 year registration distribution.

Inputs to the PART5 model are similar to (but less detailed than) those input to the MOBILE5a model and include vehicle speed, scenario year, silt loading, and the number of days with measurable precipitation. The paved road reentrained dust estimates output by PART5 were adjusted to reflect the implementation of Measure 50, “PM-10 Efficient Street Sweepers” for 2006, 2015 and 2021.

EXPLORA

The EXPLORA computer program calculates PM-10 emissions by combining link and node data (e.g. volumes, capacities, facility type, etc.) from the EMME/2 transportation model with emission factors from the PART5 model to produce gridded regional emission estimates. The unpaved road file used in EXPLORA was adjusted to reflect implementation of Measure 40, “Reduce Particulate Emissions from Unpaved Roads and Alleys.” In addition, continued paving of unpaved roads through implementation of the Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) was assumed, beginning in FY 2007 (see Section 9 of the LRTP). The impact of these continued paving efforts was applied to the EXPLORA output. Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area PM-10 Plan measures 14, 26, 58, 69, and 70 (refer to Table 2-3) were also accounted for through adjustments to the EXPLORA output for 2006, 2015, and 2021.

Calculation of PM-10 Emissions from Road Construction

PM-10 emissions from road construction were estimated based on the size (acres) and duration (months) of the road construction projects in the TIP and Long Range Transportation Plan. Specifically, the number of lane miles of road constructed per year was developed using data from the TIP and LRTP. Assuming that each lane is twelve feet wide, the number of lane miles of road to be constructed was converted to the number of acres constructed per year. The number of acres constructed per year was combined with an estimate of average project duration to produce an estimate of acre-months of disturbed soil. The acre-months of disturbed soil were combined with an emission factor to produce total emissions from road construction per month. The monthly estimate of total emissions was reduced by a factor of 30 to produce an average daily PM-10 emissions estimate for road construction.

The 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis used emission factors from the 1994 Regional PM-10 Emission Inventory for the Maricopa County Nonattainment Area (MAG, 1997) and control factors from the Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Particulate Plan for PM-10 for the Maricopa County Nonattainment Area, Appendices, Volume Two (MAG, 2000b) to calculate PM-10 emissions from road construction. The emission and control factors were obtained from these documents, because the PART5 model does not calculate particulate emissions from road construction. In addition, as further required in Section 93.122(d), the control measures for fugitive dust from construction listed in the Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Particulate Plan were applied to reduce emissions to expected levels under the applicable measures. The control level for road construction assumed in the Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Particulate Plan for 2006 was 72 percent. For the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis, this control level was applied to reduce road construction emissions for 2006, 2015, and 2021.

5 TRANSPORTATION CONTROL MEASURES

This chapter provides an update of the current status of transportation control measures identified in applicable implementation plans. Requirements of the federal conformity rule relating to transportation control measures (TCMs) are presented first, followed by a review of the applicable air quality implementation plans and TCM findings for the TIP and Long Range Transportation Plan. A review of the funding and current status of TCM implementation is presented. The chapter concludes with a measure-by-measure assessment of the current status of each transportation control measure.

FEDERAL CONFORMITY RULE REQUIREMENTS FOR TCMs

The federal conformity rule (40 CFR 93.113) requires that the TIP and Long Range Transportation Plan “must provide for the timely implementation of TCMs in the applicable implementation plan.” The Federal definition for the term “transportation control measure” is provided in 40 CFR 93.101:

“any measure that is specifically identified and committed to in the applicable implementation plan that is either one of the types listed in Section 108 of the CAA [Clean Air Act], or any other measure for the purpose of reducing emissions or concentrations of air pollutants from transportation sources by reducing vehicle use or changing traffic flow or congestion conditions. Notwithstanding the first sentence of this definition, vehicle technology-based, fuel-based, and maintenance-based measures which control the emissions from vehicles under fixed traffic conditions are not TCMs for the purposes of this subpart.”

In the federal conformity rule, the definition provided for the term “applicable implementation plan” is:

“Applicable implementation plan is defined in section 302(q) of the CAA and means the portion (or portions) of the implementation plan, or most recent revision thereof, which has been approved under section 110, or promulgated under section 110(c), or promulgated or approved pursuant to regulations promulgated under section 301(d) and which implements the relevant requirements of the CAA.”

Section 108(f)(1) of the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 lists the following transportation control measures and technology-based measures:

- (i) programs for improved public transit;
- (ii) restriction of certain roads or lanes to, or construction of such roads or lanes for use by, passenger buses or high occupancy vehicles;
- (iii) employer-based transportation management plans, including incentives;
- (iv) trip-reduction ordinances;
- (v) traffic flow improvement programs that achieve emission reductions;
- (vi) fringe and transportation corridor parking facilities serving multiple occupancy vehicle programs or transit service;
- (vii) programs to limit or restrict vehicle use in downtown areas or other areas of emission concentration particularly during periods of peak use;
- (viii) programs for the provision of all forms of high-occupancy, shared-ride services;
- (ix) programs to limit portions of road surfaces or certain sections of the metropolitan area to the use of non-motorized vehicles or pedestrian use, both as to time and place;
- (x) programs for secure bicycle storage facilities and other facilities, including bicycle lanes, for the convenience and protection of bicyclists, in both public and private areas;
- (xi) programs to control extended idling of vehicles;
- (xii) programs to reduce motor vehicle emissions, consistent with title II, which are caused by extreme cold start conditions;
- (xiii) employer-sponsored programs to permit flexible work schedules;
- (xiv) programs and ordinances to facilitate non-automobile travel, provision and utilization of mass transit, and to generally reduce the need for single-occupant vehicle travel, as part of transportation planning and development efforts of a locality, including programs and ordinances applicable to new shopping centers, special events, and other centers of vehicle activity;
- (xv) programs for new construction and major reconstructions of paths, tracks or areas solely for the use by pedestrian or other non-motorized means of transportation when economically feasible and in the public interest. For purposes of this clause, the Administrator shall also consult with the Secretary of the Interior; and
- (xvi) program to encourage the voluntary removal from use and the marketplace of pre-1980 model year light duty vehicles and pre-1980 model light duty trucks.

TCM Requirements For A Transportation Plan

The EPA regulations in 40 CFR 93.113(b) indicate that transportation control measure requirements for transportation plans are satisfied if two criteria are met:

- “(1) The transportation plan, in describing the envisioned future transportation system, provides for the timely completion or implementation of all TCMs in the applicable implementation plan which are eligible for funding under Title 23 U.S.C. or the Federal Transit Laws, consistent with schedules included in the applicable implementation plan.
- (2) Nothing in the transportation plan interferes with the implementation of any TCM in the applicable implementation plan.”

TCM Requirements For A Transportation Improvement Program

Similarly, in 40 CFR Section 93.113(c), EPA specifies three TCM criteria applicable to a transportation improvement program:

- “(1) An examination of the specific steps and funding source(s) needed to fully implement each TCM indicates that TCMs which are eligible for funding under title 23 U.S.C. or the Federal Transit Laws are on or ahead of the schedule established in the applicable implementation plan, or, if such TCMs are behind the schedule established in the applicable implementation plan, the MPO and DOT have determined that past obstacles to implementation of the TCMs have been identified and have been or are being overcome, and that all State and local agencies with influence over approvals or funding for TCMs are giving maximum priority to approval or funding of TCMs over other projects within their control, including projects in locations outside the nonattainment or maintenance area;
- (2) If TCMs in the applicable implementation plan have previously been programmed for Federal funding but the funds have not been obligated and the TCMs are behind the schedule in the implementation plan, then the TIP cannot be found to conform:
 - if the funds intended for those TCMs are reallocated to projects in the TIP other than TCMs, or
 - if there are no other TCMs in the TIP, if the funds are reallocated to projects in the TIP other than projects which are eligible for Federal funding intended for air quality improvement projects, e.g., the Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program; and
- (3) Nothing in the TIP may interfere with the implementation of any TCM in the applicable implementation plan.”

APPLICABLE AIR QUALITY IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

Only TCMs from applicable implementation plans for the MAG region are required to be updated for this analysis. The only applicable implementation plans, according to the definition provided at the start of this chapter, are the Revised 1998 15 Percent Rate of Progress (ROP) Federal Implementation Plan (FIP) for ozone and the Moderate Area Federal Implementation Plan for PM-10, both of which were promulgated in 1998. Neither of those applicable plans contain TCMs. For this analysis, however, TCMs submitted in previous air quality plans are reviewed for information purposes.

Although not approved and therefore not applicable by definition, the Serious Area Carbon Monoxide (CO) and Particulate Matter (PM-10) Plans are included in this discussion of transportation control measures. A notice of adequacy effective December 14, 1999 was announced by EPA in the *Federal Register* finding the submitted CO motor vehicle emissions budget in the MAG 1999 Serious Area Plan for Carbon Monoxide adequate for transportation conformity purposes. It is important to note that a Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Carbon Monoxide Plan was submitted to the EPA in March 2001 to reflect the repeal of the Remote Sensing Program by the Arizona Legislature in 2000. The EPA also issued a notice of adequacy effective April 21, 2000 in the *Federal Register* finding that the submitted PM-10 motor vehicle emissions budget in the Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Particulate Plan for PM-10 is adequate for transportation conformity purposes. The proposed approval of provisions in the Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Particulate Plan for PM-10 was announced by EPA in an April 13, 2000 *Federal Register* notice. The commitments from the State and local governments for the Serious Area Plans are also included for informational purposes in the TCM summaries.

Applicable Implementation Plan for Carbon Monoxide

There is no applicable implementation plan for carbon monoxide that specifies TCMs for this region. However, three submitted carbon monoxide plans, described below, provide information on transportation control measures. These measures have been implemented, and any resulting creditable emissions reduction benefits have been incorporated into the traffic and emissions forecasts for the region.

The MAG 1987 Carbon Monoxide Plan, provides a comprehensive implementation schedule in Chapter Seven (pages 7-1 through 7-84) for all of the control measures of that Plan. Chapter Eight of the MAG 1987 CO Plan assessed the expected effectiveness of each measure. These chapters are located in Appendix D.

In the MAG 1993 Carbon Monoxide Plan, the control measures and implementation schedule are contained in Chapter Eight (pages 8-1 through 8-68). Chapter Nine of the MAG 1993 CO Plan presents an assessment of the expected effectiveness of each measure. These chapters are located in Appendix E. Similarly, Chapter Two of the MAG 1993 Carbon Monoxide Plan Addendum contains a description of additional measures provided under Arizona House Bill 2001 (see Appendix F).

In addition, the MAG 1999 Serious Area CO Plan provides a comprehensive implementation schedule for all of the control measures in Chapter Eight (pages 8-1 through 8-146). An assessment of the expected effectiveness of each measure is located in Chapter V of the Technical Support Document (TSD) of the MAG 1999 Serious Area CO Plan. These chapters are contained in Appendix G.

Applicable Implementation Plan for Ozone

The only applicable ozone plan is the 15 Percent Rate of Progress (ROP) Federal Implementation Plan (FIP) promulgated by EPA on May 27, 1998 for the Maricopa County nonattainment area, effective June 26, 1998. On July 6, 1999, EPA issued the Final Rule for changes to the control strategy used in developing the Revised ROP FIP (see Appendix A). However, the Revised ROP FIP did not introduce any TCMs.

Although there is no applicable implementation plan for ozone that specifies TCMs for this region, measures included in submitted plans for ozone are reviewed for informational purposes in this report. These measures have been implemented, and any resulting creditable emission reduction benefits have been incorporated into the traffic and emission forecasts for the region.

The selected control strategies in the 1978 Nonattainment Area Plan for CO and Photochemical Oxidants in the Maricopa County Urban Planning Area (BAQC, 1978) are contained in Chapter Four (pages 4-1 through 4-18) of that document. Chapter Five of that Plan addressed the expected impact of the selected control strategies. These chapters are provided in Appendix H. The 1978 Plan contained five transportation-related measures, of which only two would be considered TCMs under the EPA definition: Carpooling - Voluntary Program; and Modified Work Schedules - Voluntary Program.

TCMs from the 1987 MAG Ozone Plan for the Maricopa County Area have been documented in Appendix I. The MAG 1993 Ozone Plan and 1993 Ozone Plan Addendum contain additional TCMs that would reduce ozone related emissions, and these measures are documented in Appendices J and K.

Applicable Implementation Plan for PM-10

On August 3, 1998, EPA promulgated a PM-10 Moderate Area Federal Implementation Plan (EPA, 1998b), effective September 2, 1998, but this Plan did not introduce any TCMs. Although there is no applicable implementation plan for PM-10 that specifies TCMs for this region, three PM-10 plans, described below, provide information on transportation control measures.

Measures included in submitted plans for PM-10 are reviewed for information purposes in this report. These measures have been implemented, and any resulting creditable emissions reduction benefits have been incorporated into the traffic and emission forecasts for the region.

The MAG 1988 Particulate Plan For PM-10, provides a comprehensive implementation schedule in Chapter Seven (pages 7-1 through 7-108) for all of the control measures of that Plan. Chapter Eight of the MAG 1988 PM-10 Plan assessed the expected effectiveness of each measure. These chapters are located in Appendix L.

In the MAG 1991 Particulate Plan for PM-10 for the Maricopa County Area and 1993 Revisions, the control measures and implementation schedule are contained in Chapter Seven (see Appendix M).

In addition, the Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area PM-10 Plan provides a comprehensive implementation schedule for all of the control measures in Chapter Seven (pages 7-1 through 7-285). An assessment of the expected effectiveness of each measure is located in Chapter V of the Technical Support Document of the Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Particulate Plan for PM-10. These chapters are contained in Appendix N. The EPA has announced its proposed approval of provisions in the Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Particulate Plan for PM-10 in an April 13, 2000 *Federal Register* notice.

TCM FINDINGS FOR THE TIP AND LRTP

Based on a review of the transportation control measures contained in the applicable air quality plans, the required TCM conformity findings are made below:

The TIP and LRTP provide for the timely completion or implementation of the TCMs in the applicable air quality plans, and no schedule difficulties have been identified. In addition, nothing in the TIP or LRTP interferes with the implementation of any TCM in the applicable implementation plan, and priority is given to TCMs.

A measure-by-measure assessment of individual transportation control measures in submitted plans is provided below. Most of the TCMs in the older plans were implemented in the short term, and have been fully implemented for several years. Their completed implementation is therefore included in the base case set of implicit assumptions in the long-range transportation plan. The TIP provides continued funding for many such TCMs (e.g. trip reduction, transit, bikeway improvements, ridesharing, and freeway management systems), which now have been implemented to a significantly greater degree than committed originally.

In addition, the transportation plan assumes or specifically calls for TCM implementation at current or expanded levels, consistent with adopted TCM commitments. The plan specifically addresses transit service, high occupancy vehicle lanes, demand management programs, and bicycle and pedestrian facility needs. Moreover, continued reliance on alternative modes of travel is reflected in the projected levels of vehicle traffic demand used in the determination of facility needs and funding priorities.

A listing of projects in the TIP which implement transportation control measures and other measures is provided in Table 5-1. It should be noted that not all of the projects listed in the table correspond to specific implementation commitments, because additional TCM implementation over and above SIP committed levels will be taking place.

Throughout the process of preparing the TIP and LRTP for the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis, no impediments to the timely implementation of adopted TCMs have been identified. With respect to funding, it should be noted that the MAG region has obligated nearly 100 percent of its available federal Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) Improvement budget. This is a significantly greater percentage than most other areas in the nation.

Based upon the comprehensive review in the Maricopa County Annual Progress Report (MCESD, 1998a), no scheduling problems relating to the implementation of adopted TCMs have been identified. In addition, the information provided in Table 5-1 provides an indication that considerable resources are being allocated to TCMs and other measures that will result in significant air quality benefits.

MEASURE-BY-MEASURE TCM ASSESSMENT

Transportation control measure documentation used in conjunction with the conformity assessment of the TIP and Long Range Transportation Plan is provided below. The numbering system used to identify control measures is consistent with the list of TCMs in Section 108 of the Clean Air Act.

As part of the ongoing process for air quality planning in the MAG region, Maricopa County annually compiles information on the implementation status of the control measures from the adopted MAG plans for carbon monoxide, ozone, and PM-10. The results of this effort are reported to and reviewed by the MAG Air Quality Technical Advisory Committee. The most recent progress report available, prepared by the Maricopa County Environmental Services Department in July 1998 as referenced above, summarizes progress for calendar year 1996 (see Appendix O).

TABLE 5-1. Programmed Transportation Projects That Implement TCMs and Other Measures

SIP CATEGORY	FY 2002 FUNDING (\$ MILLIONS)		FY 2002-2006 FUNDING (\$ MILLIONS)	MEASURE DESCRIPTION
Regional Public/Rapid Transit	Capital 150.2 Operating 163.5		1,414.3 990.8	Short and Long Range Transit Improvements: FY 2002 includes 45 proposed capital transit projects. The entire TIP includes 227 proposed capital transit projects.
Areawide Ridesharing and Travel Reduction	1.7		8.5	Expanded MAG Rideshare Program is \$660,000 per year for FY 2002 through FY 2006. MAG Travel Reduction Program is \$910,000 per year, and the Capitol Rideshare Program is \$135,000 per year in the TIP.
Park and Ride Lots	14.3		51.7	Site identification, design and construction for 11 park and ride lots.
Free way Traffic Flow Improvement	15.6		27.8	Freeway Corridor Management System projects: DOT02-863, DOT01-138, DOT04-236, DOT06-213, DOT06-216, DOT06-212, DOT06-218, and DOT06-258.
Traffic Flow Improvement	130.0		683.0	Traffic Signalization Improvements, Intersection Improvements, Bus Pullouts: The TIP includes 258 signal improvements, including 61 that involve multiple jurisdictions, 74 that include bus pullouts, and an additional 32 projects that incorporate bus pullouts without signal improvements.
Bicycle and Pedestrian Travel	9.3		82.8	Improvements to Encourage Bicycle and Pedestrian Travel: The TIP includes 103 bicycle and 234 pedestrian projects included within other highway and transit improvement projects. The TIP includes 51 bicycle and 37 pedestrian specific projects.
Paving of Streets, Shoulders, and Alleys	299.8		831.9	Pave Unpaved Roads, Construct Curb, Plant and Construct Windbreaks to Control Windblown Dust: The TIP includes 32 projects to pave dirt roadways and 362 projects that add curbs. The programmed funding associated with paving of unpaved roads accounts for \$3.5 million in FY 2002 and \$43.1 million over the five-year period of the TIP.
PM-10 Efficient Street Sweepers	1.0		7.2	PM-10 Efficient Street Sweepers: \$7.18 million is programmed to purchase PM-10 Efficient Street Sweepers to reduce dust on paved roads.

(i) Programs for Improved Public Transit

Submitted Plans and Measures:

1987 Carbon Monoxide Plan, measures 3, 4, and 10
1993 Carbon Monoxide Plan*, measures 1a, 1b, and 1c
1993 Carbon Monoxide Plan Addendum*, measure I-1
Revised 1999 Carbon Monoxide Plan*, measure 24

1987 Ozone Plan*, measures 3, 4, and 10
1993 Ozone Plan*, measures 1a, 1b, and 1c
1993 Ozone Plan Addendum*, measure I-1

1988 PM-10 Plan, measures 18, 19, and 25
1991 PM-10 Plan with 1993 Revisions, measures 18, 19, and 25
Revised 1999 PM-10 Plan*, measure 25

* = EPA approval pending

Measure Status:

Local commitments for short- and long-range transit improvements included in the MAG 1987 CO Plan demonstrated widespread support for continued regional transit improvements coordinated through the RPTA. In the MAG 1993 CO Plan and 1993 Ozone Plan, commitments representing approximately a seven percent increase to base service levels were made by various MAG member jurisdictions. In addition, several jurisdictions advocated park and ride lots to support the public transit network. In September 1996, voters of Tempe approved a sales tax referendum to fund improved transit service within their municipality. The commitments from the State and local governments for the Serious Area plans include initiatives addressing mass transit alternatives. For example, a number of cities are working in a cooperative effort with MAG, RPTA, and FTA to conduct feasibility studies for high capacity transit corridors within the metropolitan area. The studies will also evaluate the feasibility of options such as light rail, bus ways, and commuter rail.

In 2000, the voters in Phoenix approved the Transit 2000 Plan increasing the local sales tax by .4 percent over a period of 20 years. The Transit 2000 Plan provides for light rail rapid transit, extended hours of local bus service, increased dial-a-ride service, additional express bus service, and other transit improvements. The total funding for capital transit and related projects programmed for FY 2001 was \$60.8 million. The RPTA reported many improvements that occurred to the region's public transportation system in the Annual Short Range Transit Report. The full list of improvements that resulted in expanded regional transit service are provided in Chapter 3. For example, Tempe extended local bus service on many routes until midnight and increased the frequency of service. Several local bus routes serving

Phoenix have added Saturday service. Also, a new local area shuttle service was implemented in Glendale.

Additional funding for transit was established in 1998 by HB 2565 that provides funding to cities, towns, and counties for transit by distributing a share of the Vehicle License Tax (VLT) and certain lottery proceeds to the Local Transportation Assistance Fund II. In 2000, HB 2565 was amended by SB 1556 requiring funds to be used for transit for jurisdictions receiving more than \$2,500. LTAF II can be used for planning, training, capital and operating expenses, and marketing. In FY 2001, \$9.1 million was available to cities, towns, and the county in Maricopa County. The Arizona Legislature authorized LTAF II to be in effect until September 30, 2003.

Impact of TIP and LRTP:

The FY 2002-2006 MAG Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) contains a listing of 227 capital transit projects estimated to cost a total of \$1,414.3 million over the upcoming five-year period. In addition, certain street improvements of direct benefit to transit operations are also programmed. The total funding for capital transit and related projects programmed for FY 2002 is \$150.2 million. The estimated cost of transit operations over the upcoming five year period is \$990.8 million. The total funding for transit operations programmed for FY 2002 is \$163.5 million. It is concluded that implementation of the TIP will directly support short range transit improvements. An initial 20.3-mile starter segment for the light rail transit system is scheduled to be operational by 2006 and additional segment(s) are planned to be in place by the last year of the LRTP.

(ii) Restriction of Certain Roads or Lanes to, or Construction of Such Roads or Lanes for Use by, Passenger Buses or High Occupancy Vehicles

Submitted Plans and Measures:

1987 Carbon Monoxide Plan, measures 5, 14, 15, and 16
1993 Carbon Monoxide Plan*, measures 2a, 2b, and 2c
1993 Carbon Monoxide Plan Addendum*, measure I-17
Revised 1999 Carbon Monoxide Plan*, measure 56

1987 Ozone Plan*, measures 5, 14, 15, and 16
1993 Ozone Plan*, measures 2a, 2b, and 2c
1993 Ozone Plan Addendum*, measure I-20

1988 PM-10 Plan, measures 20, 29, 30, and 31
1991 PM-10 Plan with 1993 Revisions, measures 20, 29, 30, and 31
Revised 1999 PM-10 Plan*, measure 76

* = EPA approval pending

Measure Status:

The Arizona Department of Transportation, in cooperation with local jurisdictions, is responsible for the construction of the planned MAG Freeway/Expressway System. The implementation schedule for High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Lanes on Freeways was specified in the Commitments volume of the MAG 1987 CO Plan. The MAG 1993 CO Plan and 1993 Ozone Plan identified additional HOV programming by ADOT.

The 1993 CO Plan and the 1993 Ozone Plan both indicate that Phoenix will analyze traffic projections and bus frequency on a periodic basis to determine the feasibility of additional HOV lanes on arterials for buses and carpools. The commitments from the State and local governments for the Serious Area plans include the addition of a measure for RPTA to promote the use of high occupancy vehicle lanes and bypass ramps.

As of FY 2000, these measures have resulted in approximately 81 miles of HOV lanes on regional freeways and eight additional HOV bypass ramps which will improve the freeway-to-freeway and freeway-to-street movement of HOV traffic. As new HOV facilities open, RPTA continues to coordinate the promotion of rideshare activities.

Impact of TIP and LRTP:

The FY 2002-2006 MAG Transportation Improvement Program directly contributes to the implementation of this measure by providing funds for the construction of an additional 22 miles of HOV lanes on the regional freeway system. The LRTP includes completion of ten miles of HOV lanes on State Route 51 between Interstate 10 and Shea Boulevard by 2007. Funding for these HOV projects in the five-year period covered in the TIP totals \$58.6 million. As part of the long range transportation planning process, specific HOV policies and priorities have been adopted to support this measure.

(iii) Employer-Based Transportation Management Plans, Including Incentives

Submitted Plans and Measures:

1987 Carbon Monoxide Plan, measures 12 and 13
1993 Carbon Monoxide Plan*, measures 3a, 3b, 3c, 3d, 3e, 3f and 3g
Revised 1999 Carbon Monoxide Plan*, measures 39 and 53

1987 Ozone Plan*, measures 12 and 13
1993 Ozone Plan*, measures 3a, 3b, 3c, 3d, 3e, 3f and 3g

1988 PM-10 Plan, measures 27 and 28
1991 PM-10 Plan with 1993 Revisions, measure 22
Revised 1999 PM-10 Plan*, measures 56 and 73

* = EPA approval pending

Measure Status:

In the MAG 1993 CO Plan and 1993 Ozone Plan, a number of jurisdictions indicated an ongoing commitment to employer rideshare incentives including passage of ordinances and expanded training at employer sites. Several cities indicated an ongoing commitment to mandatory employee parking fees and preferential parking for carpools and vanpools. Maricopa County and the Arizona Department of Transportation provide preferential parking for carpools and vanpools. Commitments also included the encouragement of vanpools for County and State employees. The commitments from the State and local governments for the Serious Area plans include measures supporting employer-based transportation management plans.

The Trip Reduction Program was mandated by Arizona legislation in 1988 and is administered by Maricopa County. All employers with 50 or more employees are required to participate in the Trip Reduction Program. Elements of the Trip Reduction Program including employer training and facilitation of Transportation Management Associations are conducted by the Regional Public Transportation Authority (RPTA). MAG increased the annual allocation of federal funding for the program from \$250,000 in FY 1988 to \$420,000 in FY 1991, and to \$460,000 annually beginning in FY 1993. Then, beginning in FY 2000, an additional \$200,000 was added for all years included in the TIP.

In 2000, the Trip Reduction Program applied to approximately 1,200 employers with over 624,000 employees and students at 2,626 sites across Maricopa County. RPTA staff have played an important role in the success of the Clean Air Campaign and the Maricopa County Trip Reduction Program. Currently twelve Transportation Management Associations operate in the region. In addition, the RPTA administers the Regional Rideshare Program that provides a computer matching service for carpool and vanpool matching for the general public and the Arizona Department of Administration implements the Capitol Rideshare Program for all non-university state employees in Maricopa County.

Impact of TIP and LRTP:

A major portion of funding for this TCM is through the FY 2002-2006 MAG Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). Annual funding programmed in the FY 2002-2006 MAG TIP includes \$910,000 for the Trip Reduction Program, \$660,000 for the Regional Rideshare Program, and \$135,000 for the Capitol Rideshare Program. This only includes monies specified in the TIP and not funds that the programs may receive from other sources. The demand management section of the LRTP also describes this measure. Copies of the executive summaries from the most recent survey of trip reduction programs in the region and the Annual Report for the Maricopa County program are attached in Appendix P (WestGroup Research, 2000; MCESD, 2000).

(iv) Trip Reduction Ordinances

Submitted Plans and Measures:

1987 Carbon Monoxide Plan, measure 7
1993 Carbon Monoxide Plan*, measure 4
1993 Carbon Monoxide Plan Addendum*, measure I-3
Revised 1999 Carbon Monoxide Plan*, measures 39 and 53

1987 Ozone Plan*, measure 7
1993 Ozone Plan*, measure 4
1993 Ozone Plan Addendum*, measure I-3

1988 PM-10 Plan, measure 22
1991 PM-10 Plan with 1993 Revisions, measure 22
Revised 1999 PM-10 Plan*, measures 56 and 73

* = EPA approval pending

Measure Status:

The Maricopa County Travel Reduction Program was established by the Arizona Legislature in 1988, with the goal of reducing the number of single occupant vehicle trips by five percent annually. Originally, the program affected employers with 100 or more employees at a work site. In 1992, the program was expanded to include employers with 75 or more employees at a site. Arizona House Bill 2001, enacted in November 1993, required Maricopa County to adopt and enforce a strengthened Travel Reduction Program Ordinance by May 31, 1994. The strengthened ordinance applies to all employers with 50 or more employees at a single worksite throughout the Maricopa County area. The annual goals are increased from a five percent to a ten percent reduction in employee single occupant vehicle trips or commuter vehicle miles of travel. The ordinance contains annual goals for five years. More recently, the ordinance has been modified to provide employers with opportunities to accomplish equivalent reductions through alternative means.

The commitments from the State and local governments for the Serious Area plans include measures supporting employer-based transportation management plans. In 2000, the Trip Reduction Program applied to approximately 1,200 employers with over 624,000 employees and students at 2,626 sites across Maricopa County.

Impact of TIP and LRTP:

This TCM receives strong support through funding in the FY 2002-2006 MAG Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for the Regional Rideshare Program, the Maricopa County Travel Reduction Program, and the Capitol Rideshare Program. Combined, the programs have been allocated funds totaling \$8.5 million over the five-year period covered by the TIP. This total only includes monies specified in the TIP and not funds that the programs may receive from other sources. The demand management element of the LRTP facilitates continued consideration of this measure.

(v) Traffic Flow Improvement Programs That Achieve Emission Reductions

Submitted Plans and Measures:

1987 Carbon Monoxide Plan, measures 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25 and 26
1993 Carbon Monoxide Plan*, measures 5a, 5b, 5c, 5d, 5e, 5f, 5g, 5h, 5i, 5j and 5k
1993 Carbon Monoxide Plan Addendum*, measures I-2, I-16, and I-18
Revised 1999 Carbon Monoxide Plan*, measures 25, 41, and 42

1987 Ozone Plan*, measures 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25 and 26
1993 Ozone Plan*, measures 5a, 5b, 5c, 5d, 5e, 5f, 5g, 5h, 5i, 5j and 5k
1993 Ozone Plan Addendum*, measures I-2 and I-19

1988 PM-10 Plan, measures 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, and 41
1991 PM-10 Plan with 1993 Revisions, measures 33, 34, 35, 39, and 40
Revised 1999 PM-10 Plan*, measures 26, 58, and 59

* = EPA approval pending

Measure Status:

This TCM includes a number of measures that were identified in previous air quality plans including the 1987 CO and Ozone Plans and the the 1993 CO and Ozone Plans which contained measures for mitigation of freeway construction impacts; freeway surveillance; ramp metering, and signage; computerized synchronization of traffic signals; reversible lanes on arterials; one way streets; truck restrictions during peak periods; intersection improvements; on-street parking restrictions; and bus pullouts. Measures supported by a number of jurisdictions in the Serious Area Plans include: the development of Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS), the coordination of traffic signal systems, and other intersection improvements to reduce traffic congestion and are described below.

ITS Projects and Freeway Management System Improvements

A Freeway Management System (FMS) has been implemented by ADOT, the responsible agency for traffic management on MAG-area freeways. The FMS consists of electronic variable message signs, signals for metering traffic flow at ramps, closed circuit television cameras, vehicle detectors, and a telecommunication network that links all these devices to a Traffic Operations Center. Up-to-date traffic speed and congestion information is available to the public on the internet at www.azfms.com. Nearly 42 miles of the 130 mile freeway system is covered by the FMS. Ramp metering has been implemented at 90 freeway on-ramps. A total of 31 variable message signs provide traveler advisories and information and approximately 62 CCTV cameras provide traffic surveillance coverage.

A number of ITS projects have been aimed at better traffic management and congestion reduction. Tempe has implemented a downtown parking management

system and Glendale has completed the installation of a new signal system. Also, plans to implement a downtown parking management system have been accelerated by Phoenix.

Traffic Signal System Coordination

Effective December 31, 1988, traffic signal synchronization has been required by Arizona law for municipalities and for ADOT roadways with traffic volumes exceeding 15,000 vehicles per day. This is an ongoing measure for every jurisdiction, as signal synchronization requires annual adjustments to account for varying traffic volumes and patterns. AZTech, a federally funded ITS project launched by the region in 1996, has integrated a number of local traffic management systems. Eight regional corridors that cover nearly 160 miles of urban arterials have been fully instrumented to facilitate seamless traffic management across jurisdictional boundaries. Significant improvements have resulted in traffic signal synchronization across jurisdictional boundaries. The AZTech project partners have established a regional traveler information system that has resulted in more efficient dissemination of accident and traffic congestion information to the public via television, radio, and internet.

In 2000, Chandler, Gilbert, Maricopa County, Peoria, Scottsdale, and Tempe all implemented projects to improve traffic signal system coordination. For example, the Scottsdale project was for a traffic monitoring computer system at a cost of \$1.6 million.

Intersection Improvements

Implementation of intersection improvements have continued at major intersections as a method to reduce traffic congestion and improve traffic flow. In addition, some jurisdictions reported other traffic control techniques such as bus pull-outs to reduce congestion at major intersections. Several jurisdictions including ADOT, Chandler, Phoenix, and Scottsdale began or completed intersection improvement projects.

In addition to the above mentioned measures, the MAG Intelligent Transportation Systems Committee launched a project in September 1999 to update the ITS Strategic Plan first developed in 1995. Regional ITS planning efforts are currently led by MAG. The final report updated plan documents, existing and planned ITS systems, and provided a "roadmap" for addressing regional needs through future ITS implementation. The MAG ITS Strategic Plan Update was approved in February, 2001.

Impact of TIP and LRTP:

Implementation of this measure is strongly supported through the FY 2002-2006 MAG Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). For FY 2002, a total of \$130.0 million for traffic flow improvements is included in the TIP. For the five-year period covered by the TIP, a total of \$683.0 million is programmed for these projects. In

addition, the TIP includes funds totaling \$15.6 million in FY 2002 and \$27.8 million over the next five years for traffic flow improvements on freeways. The traffic demand and system management sections of the LRTP also facilitates continued consideration of this TCM.

(vi) Fringe and Corridor Parking Facilities Serving Multiple Occupancy Vehicle Programs or Transit Service

Submitted Plans and Measures:

1987 Carbon Monoxide Plan, measure 10
1993 Carbon Monoxide Plan*, measure 6
Revised 1999 Carbon Monoxide Plan*, measure 54

1987 Ozone Plan*, measure 10
1993 Ozone Plan*, measure 6

1988 PM-10 Plan, measure 25
1991 PM-10 Plan with 1993 Revisions, measure 25
Revised 1999 PM-10 Plan*, measure 74

* = EPA approval pending

Measure Status:

The 1987 CO and Ozone Plans contain commitments from many jurisdictions agreeing to assist and cooperate in the location of park-and-ride lots. Similarly, in the 1993 CO and Ozone Plans, RPTA, ADOT, Maricopa County and various cities committed to promote and expand park-and-ride lots and to seek out agreements with owners of major facilities such as shopping centers and institutions for the placement of park-and-ride lots. The commitments from the State and local governments for the Serious Area CO and PM-10 plans include measures in which the RPTA will continue to work with member jurisdictions, private entities, and employers in the development, design, and implementation of new park-and-ride facilities.

A large number of park-and-ride lots are already operational in the Maricopa County area. The latest Short Range Transit Plan prepared by the RPTA (RPTA, 2001) indicated that there are 51 park-and-ride facilities that provide 2,309 automobile spaces, 44 bicycle spaces, and 8 bicycle lockers in Maricopa County. The RPTA works with employers and Transportation Management Associations to promote park-and-ride lots as a means to encourage ridesharing and use of public transit. Appendix Q contains a list of park-and-ride facilities in the region.

In January 2001, MAG completed the MAG Park and Ride Site Selection Study to identify a regional system of park-and-ride lots to support the regional express bus system, carpooling, and vanpooling. The recommended system includes ten sites

for near-term development and ten sites for long-term development. Additional recommendations address design guidelines and criteria for lot development, a management and operations plan for the lots, and programming and implementation strategies.

Impact of TIP and LRTP:

The FY 2002-2006 MAG Transportation Improvement Program has programmed \$51.7 million for the implementation of park-and-ride lots. The demand management section of the LRTP supports continued planning and implementation of this measure through the HOV system plan.

(vii) Programs to Limit or Restrict Vehicle Use in Downtown Areas or Other Areas of Emission Concentrations, Particularly During Periods of Peak Use

Submitted Plans and Measures:

1987 Carbon Monoxide Plan, measure 23
1993 Carbon Monoxide Plan*, measures 7a and 7b

1987 Ozone Plan*, measure 23
1993 Ozone Plan*, measures 7a and 7b

1988 PM-10 Plan, measure 38

* = EPA approval pending

Measure Status:

In the 1987 CO Plan, 1988 PM-10 Plan, and MAG 1993 CO and Ozone Plans, several jurisdictions in the MAG region indicated they would agree to consider the implementation of truck restrictions during peak periods. According to the 1993 CO Plan, Phoenix presently restricts truck loading operations on downtown streets during peak hours, in accordance with City Code Article 8, Section 36-87. Phoenix will continue to enforce its existing restrictions on deliveries into the downtown area during peak hours (7:00 to 9:00 am, and 4:00 to 6:00 pm). Gilbert indicated that it currently has an ordinance in place to restrict truck deliveries by place. There are about 16 miles of city streets with truck use restrictions in cities in Maricopa County.

Impact of TIP and LRTP:

The construction of transportation facilities and provisions of transportation services which are programmed in the FY 2002-2006 MAG Transportation Improvement Program will not affect the schedule or effectiveness of this measure. The demand and system management sections of the LRTP facilitates continued consideration and implementation of this measure.

(viii) Programs for the Provision of All Forms of High-Occupancy, Shared Ride Services

Submitted Plans and Measures:

1987 Carbon Monoxide Plan, measures 6 and 11
1993 Carbon Monoxide Plan*, measures 8a, 8b, and 8c
1993 Carbon Monoxide Plan Addendum*, measure II-9
Revised 1999 Carbon Monoxide Plan*, measures 40 and 52

1987 Ozone Plan*, measures 6 and 11
1993 Ozone Plan*, measures 8a, 8b, and 8c
1993 Ozone Plan Addendum*, measure II-9

1988 PM-10 Plan, measures 21 and 26
Revised 1999 PM-10 Plan*, measures 57 and 72

* = EPA approval pending

Measure Status:

The MAG 1987 CO Plan and the MAG 1993 CO and Ozone Plans contain commitments requiring the expansion of the MAG Regional Rideshare Program, Park-and-Ride Programs, and Financial Incentives Including Zero Bus Fares. A description of Park-and-Ride Programs are reviewed in TCM number "vi". The commitments from the State and local governments for the Serious Area CO and PM-10 Plans include measures supporting preferential parking for carpools and vanpools and encouraging the use of vanpooling. A description of the measures is below followed by the impact in the TIP and LRTP.

Ridematching Programs

Ridematching programs in the Maricopa County area include the Regional Rideshare Program and the Capitol Rideshare Program. The Regional Rideshare Program, conducted by the Regional Public Transportation Authority, maintains a computer matching service that provides carpool and vanpool matching for the general public and for employers required to participate in the Trip Reduction Program. In addition, the Regional Rideshare Program provides partial funding to conduct the Clean Air Campaign that emphasizes the need to reduce emissions through carpools and/or vanpools. MAG increased the annual allocation of federal funding for the program from \$250,000 in FY 1988 to \$420,000 in FY 1991, and to \$460,000 annually beginning in FY 1993. Then, beginning in FY 2000, an additional \$200,000 was added for all years included in the TIP.

RPTA has also expanded program marketing to employers as part of the existing Trip Reduction Program administered by Maricopa County. This involves employers with 50 or more employees, affecting an estimated 1,200 employers and 2,595 sites (MCESD, 2000). The RPTA also provides assistance to twelve Transportation Management Associations operating in the region.

The Arizona Department of Administration (ADOA) targets all non-university state employees in Maricopa County to encourage the increased use of carpools, vanpools, public transit, and alternative work schedules.

Financial Incentives Including Zero Bus Fares

The 1993 CO Plan Addendum includes a measure for a public transportation subsidy program for state employees. During the last quarter of FY 2000, the ADOA provided a 65 percent public transit subsidy to approximately 5,655 state employees who participate in the Bus Card Plus program. In addition, approximately 44 employers in the Trip Reduction Program were subsidizing employee participation in vanpool programs during the year ending October 2000.

Impact of TIP and LRTP:

The FY 2002-2006 MAG Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) directly facilitates the implementation of this measure by including allocations of federal Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) funding for the Regional Rideshare and Capitol Rideshare programs. The Regional Rideshare Program is programmed at \$660,000 for each year in the TIP. The Capitol Rideshare Program receives partial funding of \$135,000 annually in the TIP. In addition, the TIP includes separately listed projects to provide funding for vanpooling. Also, ridesharing is promoted by the provision of HOV lanes, implemented through the TIP. Section 11 of the LRTP on demand management facilitates continued consideration and implementation of shared ride measures.

(ix) Programs to Limit Portions of Road Surfaces or Certain Sections of the Metropolitan Area to the Use of Non-Motorized Vehicles or Pedestrian Use, Both as to Time and Place

Submitted Plans and Measures:

1987 Carbon Monoxide Plan, measure 42
1993 Carbon Monoxide Plan*, measure 9
Revised 1999 Carbon Monoxide Plan*, measure 48

1987 Ozone Plan*, measure 42
1993 Ozone Plan*, measure 9

1988 PM-10 Plan, measure 55
Revised 1999 PM-10 Plan*, measure 65

* = EPA approval pending

Measure Status:

The 1987 CO and Ozone Plan as well as the 1993 CO Plan indicated that pedestrian malls were being considered in the downtown plans for various cities and

towns in the MAG area. Auto free zones and pedestrian malls can be used to reduce traffic congestion and air pollution on a localized basis. The successful establishment of auto free zones and pedestrian malls is dependent upon high transit accessibility, good circulation design of adjacent arterials, and parking management.

The commitments from the State and local governments for the Serious Area CO and PM-10 Plans include strengthening of initiatives to encourage non-motorized travel. The municipalities of Avondale, Carefree, Fountain Hills, Gilbert, Glendale, Goodyear, Peoria, Phoenix, Scottsdale, Tempe, and Tolleson have supported this measure through: linkage of activity centers with bikeways; establishing pedestrian routes in residential areas, creating bicycle links between subdivisions and within planned corridors along canals and transmission easements.

In addition, the MAG Regional Off-Street System (ROSS) Plan was approved in February 2001. The ROSS Plan provides guidance to MAG member agencies in creating an off-street non-motorized transportation system utilizing an extensive number of canal banks, utility line easements, and flood control channels.

Impact of TIP and LRTP:

The construction of transportation facilities and provisions of transportation services which are programmed in the FY 2002-2006 MAG Transportation Improvement Program will not affect the schedule or effectiveness of this measure. The demand and system management sections of the LRTP facilitates continued consideration and implementation of this measure. Implementation of the ROSS Plan is also described in Section 6 of the LRTP.

(x) Programs for Secure Bicycle Storage Facilities and Other Facilities Including Bicycle Lanes, for the Convenience and Protection of Bicyclists, in Both Public and Private Areas

Submitted Plans and Measures:

1987 Carbon Monoxide Plan, measures 27 and 28
1993 Carbon Monoxide Plan*, measures 10a and 10b
1993 Carbon Monoxide Plan Addendum*, measure II-7
Revised 1999 Carbon Monoxide Plan*, measures 44 and 45

1987 Ozone Plan*, measures 27 and 28
1993 Ozone Plan*, measures 10a and 10b
1993 Ozone Plan Addendum*, measure II-7

1988 PM-10 Plan, measures 42 and 43
1991 PM-10 Plan with 1993 Revisions, measures 42 and 43
Revised 1999 PM-10 Plan*, measures 61 and 62

* = EPA approval pending

Measure Status:

The general level of planning and commitment for encouraging bicycle use and providing bicycle support facilities has increased substantially over the level observed in 1987. At the regional level, MAG established a Regional Bicycle Task Force in 1990. This task force guided the development of the Regional Bicycle Plan, which was adopted as part of the MAG Long Range Regional Transportation Plan in July 1992. The Regional Bicycle Plan was updated in 1999.

In the 1993 CO and Ozone Plans, a number of jurisdictions indicated a commitment to improve bicycle facilities through the construction of additional miles of bike paths, striping of bike lanes on arterial and collector streets, and installation of additional bike racks and lockers to encourage bicycle use. The Regional Bicycle Task Force oversees the update of the Regional Bikeways Map. Updated in alternating years, the map shows existing, locally-designated bicycling facilities, and is provided for free distribution. The first map was created in 1994, and updated in 1997. Since 1992, over 150,000 maps have been distributed. The map includes bicycle lanes and paths and designated bicycle routes on roadways. When the map was updated in 2000, two new categories were added to the map to aid bicyclists in planning trips: popular undesignated routes and transportation trails. The map also includes hints on defensive cycling, regional phone numbers web sites of bicycling groups. Of the approximately 15,000 miles of roadway in the region, the map shows 660 miles of bicycle lanes, 375 miles of bicycle routes, and 125 miles of paved multi-use paths.

The commitments from the State and local governments for the Serious Area CO and PM-10 Plans include initiatives by most cities and towns in the region to support cycling facilities. Phoenix, for example, expanded its bikeway system from approximately 75 miles in 1997 to approximately 469 miles as of December 1998. Additional bikeways are being planned for Phoenix. Also Phoenix assisted Maricopa County in a pilot program to provide over 100 free purple bikes and 30 purple bike racks (Purple People Movers) for use in the downtown area. This demonstration project was not extended. Scottsdale has adopted a Bicycle/Pedestrian Transportation Plan and continues to install and maintain bike facilities at city parks, and encourages private developers and businesses to include bike racks, lockers, and showers at work sites and other facilities. In 1997, Tempe was recognized as a "Bicycle Friendly Community" by the League of American Bicyclists and received a Silver Spoke award from the Governor's Task Force on Bicycles for outstanding contributions to bicycle facilities planning and engineering.

Impact of TIP and LRTP:

The implementation of the FY 2002-2006 MAG Transportation Improvement Program will directly support the goal of increased bicycle use. There are 51 bicycle specific projects programmed for the TIP. Funding for bicycle projects in the TIP totals \$13.9 million in FY 2002 and \$49.6 million over the next five years. Specific projects to be funded each year are recommended to the MAG Management

Committee by the MAG Regional Bicycle Task Force, for approval by the MAG Regional Council.

The provision of new bicycle lanes or facilities is often included as part of various road improvement projects, rather than being implemented and programmed separately. In the TIP, bicycle facility additions have been programmed as part of approximately 103 road improvements in a number of jurisdictions. The LRTP includes a section devoted to bicycle transportation and the continued development of bicycle facilities in the region.

(xi) Programs to Control Extended Idling of Vehicles

Submitted Plans and Measures:

1987 Carbon Monoxide Plan, measure 41
1993 Carbon Monoxide Plan*, measure 11
Revised 1999 Carbon Monoxide Plan*, measure 33

1987 Ozone Plan*, measure 41
1993 Ozone Plan*, measure 11

1988 PM-10 Plan, measure 54
1991 PM-10 Plan with 1993 Revisions, measure 54
Revised 1999 PM-10 Plan*, measure 34

* = EPA approval pending

Measure Status:

In the MAG 1993 CO Plan, Carefree and Tolleson indicated that they would take steps to address emissions from idling at drive-up window facilities. Information provided to MAG by Sierra Research, a leading consultant in the field of vehicular emissions, indicates that vehicles with catalytic converters may produce more emissions during engine start-up than engine idling for brief periods. The Sierra Research report concluded that banning the use of drive-up window facilities would not significantly increase or decrease emissions of CO or oxides of nitrogen (NO_x), and would potentially increase emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOC). It is important to note that the report was completed in 1991, based upon emission data from vehicles in Southern California.

The commitments from the State and local governments for the Serious Area CO and PM-10 Plans include an initiative by RPTA to follow guidelines developed by that agency in June 1996 to reduce idling of engines. The guideline specifies that, for temperatures below 90 degrees Fahrenheit and over three minutes layover, the operator should turn the engine off. If the vehicle is located within 100 yards of any residence, for temperatures below 90 degrees Fahrenheit, the engine is to be turned off regardless of layover time. Further, RPTA will continue to work with

member jurisdictions to promote environmentally sensitive transit operations practices and policies.

Impact of TIP and LRTP:

The construction of transportation facilities and provisions of transportation services which are programmed in the FY 2002-2006 MAG Transportation Improvement Program will not affect the schedule or effectiveness of this measure. In addition, the LRTP will not affect this measure.

(xii) Programs to Reduce Motor Vehicle Emissions, Consistent with Title II, Which Are Caused by Extreme Cold Start Conditions

Submitted Plans and Measures:

This measure is not applicable in MAG region.

Measure Status:

This measure is not applicable in MAG region.

(xiii) Employer-Sponsored Programs to Permit Flexible Work Schedules

Submitted Plans and Measures:

1987 Carbon Monoxide Plan, measures 35 and 36
1993 Carbon Monoxide Plan*, measures 13a, 13b, 13c, and 13d
1993 Carbon Monoxide Plan Addendum*, measure I-12
Revised 1999 Carbon Monoxide Plan*, measure 46

1978 Ozone Plan, measure "Modified Work Schedules"
1987 Ozone Plan*, measures 35 and 36
1993 Ozone Plan*, measures 13a, 13b, 13c, and 13d

1988 PM-10 Plan, measures 48 and 49
1991 PM-10 Plan with 1993 Revisions, measure 48
Revised 1999 PM-10 Plan*, measure 63

* = EPA approval pending

Measure Status:

The 1978 Ozone Plan indicated that modified work schedules were to be implemented on a voluntary basis with emphasis on the winter period of maximum temperature inversions. The effect of this measure in reducing ozone was not calculated in the 1978 Ozone Plan.

In the 1987 CO and Ozone Plans, a number of jurisdictions supported the use of alternative work hours and work weeks for their employees. Since 1987, this measure has been implemented on a formal basis as mandated by Arizona legislation. SB 1360 established requirements for the use of adjusted work hours by at least 85 percent of State employees with offices located in a nonattainment area. Beginning in 1987, this requirement became applicable for the period between October 1 and March 31 of each year. Beginning in 1989, the requirement was also applied to County employees and to the employees of cities and towns which have a population of 50,000 or more. The 1987 legislation also required businesses with 500 or more employees at one site within a nonattainment area to prepare an adjusted work hour proposal for submittal to ADEQ by October 1 of each year.

In the MAG 1993 CO Plan and 1993 Ozone Plan, numerous MAG member agencies indicated that this measure was ongoing through the use of compressed or staggered work schedules to lessen the number of commuting trips. Also, several agencies indicated that telecommuting and teleconferencing options would be investigated and/or expanded. MAG has taken the lead and initiated a telecommuting and teleconferencing program for its member agencies, with planning for the program initiated in FY 1998 and program completion scheduled for FY 2005.

As specified in the 1993 CO Plan Addendum, measure I-12 "Air Pollution Emergency", enacted by Arizona HB 2001 in November 1993, authorized the Governor of Arizona to declare air emergencies on days when the National Ambient Air Quality Standards are likely to be exceeded. The Governor will prohibit, restrict, or condition the employment schedules for employees of the State and its political subdivisions (includes the County and local governments) in order to reduce vehicle emissions during air pollution emergencies. The Governor has developed a plan for implementation of this measure. Under these provisions, State employees were sent home early due to elevated carbon monoxide concentrations on one occasion in late 1994.

In 1996, the Governor issued a proclamation which requires the cities, towns and county meet a 75 percent employee compliance of three options to reduce hydrocarbon emissions from mobile sources during June 1 to September 30, 1996. The options are: work schedules that avoid workday start and ending in the peak traffic hours; compressed workweek schedules; travel to and from work by alternate mode including bus, carpool, vanpool, bicycle, or walking.

This measure also responds to Clean Air Act Section 108(f)(1)(B): Additional methods or strategies that will contribute to the reduction of mobile source related pollutants during periods in which any primary air quality standard will be exceeded and during episodes for which an air pollution alert, warning, or emergency has been declared.

The commitments from the State and local governments for the Serious Area CO and PM-10 Plans include initiatives supporting alternative work schedules and the use of off-peak driving, ridesharing, and the use of transit. As part of the employer outreach for the Trip Reduction Program, RPTA facilitates up to one formal training bimonthly on compressed work weeks and/or alternative work schedules and provides onsite assistance to individual employers on an as-needed basis.

Impact of TIP and LRTP:

The FY 2002-2006 MAG Transportation Improvement Program contains funding for the MAG Telecomms Program in the amount of \$5.6 million. The construction of other transportation or related facilities and other provisions of transportation services that are programmed in the TIP will not affect the schedule or effectiveness of this measure. The LRTP includes a specific section on demand management in support of the continuing need to pursue programs in this area.

(xiv) Programs and Ordinances to Facilitate Non-Automobile Travel, Provision and Utilization of Mass Transit, and to Generally Reduce the Need for Single-Occupant Vehicle Travel, as Part of Transportation Planning and Development Efforts of a Locality, Including Programs and Ordinances Applicable to New Shopping Centers, Special Events, and Other Centers of Vehicle Activity

Submitted Plans and Measures:

1987 Carbon Monoxide Plan, measures 8, 9, 39, and 40
1993 Carbon Monoxide Plan*, measures 14a, 14b, 14c, and 14d
Revised 1999 Carbon Monoxide Plan*, measures 47, 51, and 55

1987 Ozone Plan*, measures 8, 9, 39, and 40
1993 Ozone Plan*, measures 14a, 14b, 14c, and 14d

1988 PM-10 Plan, measures 23, 24, 52, and 53
1991 PM-10 Plan with 1993 Revisions, measures 23 and 24
Revised 1999 PM-10 Plan*, measures 64, 68, and 75

* = EPA approval pending

Measure Status:

In the MAG 1993 CO Plan, numerous MAG member jurisdictions indicated that new developments are encouraged through their General Plan to support alternative modes of transportation. In 1995, the Maricopa Association of Governments completed an Urban Form Study which examines the transportation and air quality impacts of various land use configurations within the region.

Arizona legislation enacted in 1987 requires every State agency, board, and commission to submit an air quality impact report to ADEQ on any State-funded

transportation related project that it determines may impact air quality. In 1988, the Arizona Legislature required Maricopa County to establish a Voluntary No Drive Days Program. The Clean Air Campaign urges the public not to drive on a given day each week, as well as on alert days when severe pollution concentrations are expected. The program is in effect from October through March when atmospheric conditions may lead to increased carbon monoxide levels.

The commitments from the State and local governments for the Serious Area CO and PM-10 plans include initiatives from a number of municipalities in support of Land Use/Development Alternatives. For example, the Avondale, Phoenix, and Scottsdale implement general land use planning and development administration to improve the quality of life, promote land use compatibility, reduce infrastructure costs, promote accessibility, and reduce traffic congestion. Promotion of air quality is an integral part of these efforts and a natural by-product. The Tempe General Plan 2020 goals which support this measure are: develop and implement a Comprehensive Multi-modal Circulation Plan, promote land development that integrates multiple modes of transportation, including transit, pedestrians, and bicycles, create ordinances, policies, or design guidelines that support the Comprehensive Multi-modal Circulation Plan, and encourage mixed-use development and promotion on non-polluting modes of travel into urban design. The Maricopa County Land Use Element of the Comprehensive Plan encourages efficient land development that is compatible with adjacent land uses, well integrated with the transportation system, and sensitive to the natural environment.

Impact of TIP and LRTP:

The construction of transportation facilities and provision of transportation services as programmed in the FY 2002-2006 MAG Transportation Improvement Program will not affect the schedule or effectiveness of this measure. The LRTP planning process is incorporating new techniques to take into account the interaction of transportation facilities and land use patterns.

(xv) Programs for New Construction and Major Reconstruction of Paths, Tracks or Areas Solely for Use by Pedestrian or Other Non-motorized Means of Transportation When Economically Feasible and in the Public Interest

Submitted Plans and Measures:

1987 Carbon Monoxide Plan, measures 29 and 30
1993 Carbon Monoxide Plan*, measures 15a and 15b
1993 Carbon Monoxide Plan Addendum*, measure II-7
Revised 1999 Carbon Monoxide Plan*, measures 44 and 45

1987 Ozone Plan, measures 29 and 30
1993 Ozone Plan*, measures 15a and 15b
1993 Ozone Plan Addendum*, measure II-7

1988 PM-10 Plan, measures 44 and 45
1991 PM-10 Plan with 1993 Revisions, measures 44 and 45
Revised 1999 PM-10 Plan*, measures 61 and 62

* = EPA approval pending

Measure Status:

In the 1987 CO and Ozone Plans and the 1993 CO Plan, a number of jurisdictions indicated that encouragement of pedestrian travel is an ongoing measure. RPTA, in coordination with MAG, assists in maintaining the Regional Pedestrian Plan, and has hosted a pedestrian conference. In November 1993, House Bill 2001 authorized ADOT to make grants from its portion of the State Air Quality Fund for intermodal transportation, pedestrian, and bicycle projects and activities.

The following items are among the actions that have been undertaken through MAG regional plans and programs to implement Pedestrian and Bicycle Goals:

- Conduct seminars and offer design assistance to local communities and design professionals to encourage local land use policies that support walking as a transportation mode.
- In 2000, over \$2.2 million in Transportation Enhancement category funds were provided to Gilbert, Glendale, Maricopa County, Peoria, and Phoenix from the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century to develop multi-use paths, pedestrian amenities, and enhance other alternative transportation modes.
- In fiscal years 2002 and 2003, MAG will provide nearly \$125,000 annually for design assistance to develop pedestrian plans and limited construction documents for pedestrian improvements.

The commitments from the State and local governments for the Serious Area CO and PM-10 plans include initiatives by most cities and towns in the region to support cycling facilities. Phoenix, for example, expanded its bikeway system from approximately 75 miles in 1997 to approximately 469 miles as of December 1998. Additional bikeways are being planned for Phoenix. Phoenix has also assisted the County in a pilot program to provide free bikes (Purple People Movers) for use in the downtown area. Over 100 purple bikes and 30 purple bike racks were made available. After implementation of this demonstration project, the City moved to end this Program. Scottsdale has adopted a Bicycle/Pedestrian Transportation Plan. Scottsdale continues to install and maintain bike facilities at City parks, and encourages private developers and businesses to include bike racks, lockers, and showers at work sites and other facilities. Tempe facilitates and promotes bicycle travel through a variety of programs. More than 85 miles of bikeways currently exist in Tempe with more than half of all collector and arterial streets having a dedicated bicycle facility. In 1997, Tempe was recognized as a "Bicycle Friendly Community" by the League of American Bicyclists" and received a Silver Spoke award from the

Governor's Task Force on Bicycles for outstanding contributions to bicycle facilities planning and engineering. In Tempe, bicycle racks are installed with new development. Mesa and Chandler have also developed bicycle plans.

In addition, as part of "Growing Smarter" legislation, cities and towns in the MAG region will each be updating or creating a bicycle element in their General Plans.

Impact of TIP and LRTP:

The provision of new sidewalks (and supporting amenities such as lighting and landscaping) is often included as part of various road improvement projects, rather than being implemented and programmed separately. It should also be noted that sidewalk provision is often required of the private sector as a condition for property development. The FY 2002-2006 MAG Transportation Improvement Program contains 37 specific pedestrian projects and 234 other transportation projects that include provisions for pedestrian travel. Funding for pedestrian projects in the TIP totals \$1.7 million in FY 2002 and \$33.6 million over the next five years. The LRTP is supportive of this measure and includes a specific section on pedestrian travel.

(xvi) Program to Encourage Voluntary Removal from Use and the Marketplace of Pre-1980 Model Year Light Duty Vehicles and Pre-1980 Model Light Duty Trucks

Submitted Plans and Measures:

Revised 1999 Carbon Monoxide Plan*, measures 8 and 22
Revised 1999 PM-10 Plan*, measures 8 and 23

*= EPA approval pending

Measure Status:

This TCM is a committed measure in the Serious Area CO and PM-10 Plans. Since these Plans have not been approved, this measure is not in a currently applicable plan for the MAG region.

Voluntary Vehicle Repair and Retrofit Program

According to the Arizona Revised Statutes 49-474.03, Maricopa County is required to operate and administer a Voluntary Vehicle Repair and Retrofit Program. Beginning in January 1999, the program is designed to provide for real and quantifiable emissions reductions based on actual emissions testing performed on the vehicle before repair or retrofit. The County is also required to coordinate the program with the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality and Arizona Department of Transportation.

A vehicle owner may participate in the program if all of the following criteria are met:

- The owner is willing to participate in the program.

- The vehicle is functionally operational.
- The vehicle is titled in this state, has taken the emissions inspection test, has been registered during the immediately preceding twelve months and has not been unregistered for more than sixty days.
- The vehicle is at least twelve years older than the current calendar year.
- The vehicle is required to take the emissions inspection test and the vehicle fails the emissions test in the emissions inspection results portion of the test. The vehicle owner is required to apply to the program not more that sixty days after failing the test.
- The emissions control system has not been tampered with.
- The emissions control system has not been removed or disabled, in whole or in part.
- The vehicle is taken to a participating repair facility. Any repairs performed at an unauthorized repair facility are not eligible for payment.
- Participation in the program is limited to one vehicle per owner.
- Motor homes, motorcycles, salvage vehicles and fleet vehicles are not eligible to participate in the program.

In addition, the Voluntary Vehicle Repair and Retrofit Program provides that

- Vehicle owners who qualify for the repair and retrofit program pay the first \$150 as a copayment.
- Vehicles that require more than \$700 in repair costs are not eligible unless the vehicle owner chooses to pay additional costs.
- A vehicle that is able to accept a retrofit kit is required to have the retrofit kit installed. A vehicle that requires more than \$800 in aggregated retrofit parts and labor costs is not eligible for the program unless the vehicle owner pays the additional costs.

From January 1999 through June 2001, a total of 2,746 vehicles have been repaired through the Maricopa County Voluntary Vehicle Repair and Retrofit Program. Approximately 113 of those vehicles had retrofit kits installed. According to Maricopa County, the program is very cost effective. The average cost to the County is \$715 per metric ton of pollution reduced. Collectively, the County estimates that the Voluntary Vehicle Repair and Retrofit Program results in a total reduction of 587.10 metric tons per year of carbon monoxide, 32.20 metric tons per year of hydrocarbons, and 41.15 metric tons per year of nitrogen oxides.

Voluntary Gasoline Vehicle Retirement Program/Maricopa County Travel Reduction Program

This measure was also included as part of an initiative entitled “Voluntary Gasoline Vehicle Retirement Program/Maricopa County Travel Reduction Program”. Maricopa County indicates that the implementation of this measure involves a program to purchase and retire vehicles that produce excessive emissions, particularly pre-1980 model year light duty automobiles and trucks. Maricopa County revised its Trip Reduction Ordinance to include flexibility provisions, also called Equivalent Emission Reduction Credit, authorized under A.R.S. Section

49-588 which includes voluntary vehicle trade-outs. This revision will allow trade-outs completed after October 16, 1996 to be used to achieve the emission reduction goals established under the ordinance.

Impact of TIP and LRTP:

The transportation projects in the FY 2002-2006 MAG Transportation Improvement Program and LRTP are not anticipated to impact the schedule or effectiveness of this measure.

6 TIP AND LRTP CONFORMITY

The principal requirements of the federal conformity rule for TIP and Long Range Transportation Plan assessments are: (1) the TIP and LRTP must pass an emissions budget test with a budget that has been found to be adequate by EPA for transportation conformity purposes, or an emissions reduction test; (2) the latest planning assumptions and emission models specified for use in air quality implementation plans must be employed; (3) the TIP and LRTP must provide for the timely implementation of transportation control measures (TCMs) specified in the applicable air quality implementation plans; and (4) consultation. Consultation generally occurs both at the beginning of the process of preparing the conformity analysis, on the proposed methodologies for the upcoming analysis and the projects to be assessed, and at the end of the process, on the draft report. The final determination of conformity for the TIP and Long Range Transportation Plan is the responsibility of the Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Transit Administration.

The previous chapters and the appendices present the documentation for all of the requirements listed above for conformity determinations except for the conformity test results. Prior chapters have also addressed the updated documentation required under the federal transportation conformity rule for the latest planning assumptions and the implementation of transportation control measures specified in the applicable air quality implementation plans. Interagency consultation on the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis for the TIP and LRTP is documented in Appendix B. Appendix R includes the public hearing notice and a transcript of the public hearing. The comments received and responses made as part of the public comment process are included in Appendix S.

This chapter presents the results of the conformity tests, satisfying the remaining requirement of the federal transportation conformity rule. Separate tests were conducted for carbon monoxide (CO), volatile organic compounds (VOC), and particulate matter under ten microns in diameter (PM-10). For each test, the required emissions estimates were developed using the transportation and emission modeling approaches required under the federal conformity rule and summarized in Chapters 3 and 4. The applicable conformity tests were reviewed in Chapter 1. The results are summarized below, followed by a more detailed discussion of the findings for each pollutant. Table 6-1 and Figures 6-1 through 6-3 present results for CO, VOC, and PM-10, respectively, in metric tons per day for each of the horizon years tested.

For carbon monoxide, as reviewed in Chapter 1, the emissions budget test was applied for the 2001 MAG Conformity Analysis. The modeling results for all analysis years indicate that the CO emissions predicted for the “Build” scenarios are less than the

emissions budget found to be adequate by EPA in December 1999. The TIP and Long Range Transportation Plan therefore satisfy the conformity emissions test for carbon monoxide.

For volatile organic compounds, the applicable conformity test is the approved emissions budget test, using the Revised 1998 15 Percent Rate of Progress (ROP) Federal Implementation Plan (FIP) budget established for an average summer (ozone) season day. The modeling results for all analysis years indicate that the VOC emissions predicted for each of the “Build” scenarios are less than the Revised ROP FIP budget. The TIP and Long Range Transportation Plan therefore satisfy the conformity emissions test for volatile organic compounds.

For PM-10, the applicable conformity tests are the emissions budget test and the “Build-No Build” test. The modeling results for all analysis years indicate that the PM-10 emissions predicted for the “Build” scenarios are less than the emissions budget and less than the emissions predicted for the corresponding “No-Build” scenarios. The TIP and Long Range Transportation Plan therefore satisfy the conformity emissions tests for PM-10.

As all requirements of the federal conformity rule have been satisfied, a finding of conformity for the FY 2002-2006 MAG Transportation Improvement Program and Long Range Transportation Plan 2001 Update is supported.

CONFORMITY TEST RESULTS FOR CARBON MONOXIDE

The conformity modeling results for carbon monoxide are presented in Table 6-1 and Figure 6-1. Emissions were calculated for the modeling domain for a 24-hour period based on design day conditions. The projected “Build” scenario CO emissions for 2006, 2015, and 2021 are 387.0, 310.8, and 325.5 metric tons per day, respectively, which are all less than the budget of 411.6 metric tons per day.

Since the projected carbon monoxide emissions for the TIP and Long Range Transportation Plan are less than the budget, the results support a finding of conformity.

CONFORMITY TEST RESULTS FOR OZONE

The conformity modeling results for ozone are presented in Table 6-1 for the budget specified in the Revised ROP FIP, and are graphed in Figure 6-2. The volatile organic compounds emissions were calculated for the modeling domain for an average summer day. The projected “Build” scenario VOC emissions for 2006, 2015, and 2021 are 70.1, 63.8, and 67.0 metric tons per day, respectively, which are all less than the budget of 87.1 metric tons per day.

Since the projected volatile organic compounds emissions for the TIP and Long Range Transportation Plan are less than the budget, the results support a finding of conformity.

CONFORMITY TEST RESULTS FOR PARTICULATE MATTER

The conformity modeling results for PM-10 are listed in Table 6-1 and graphed in Figure 6-3. The projected “Build” scenario PM-10 emissions for 2006, 2015, and 2021 are less than the budget of 59.7 metric tons per day. For each year tested, “Build” scenario emissions are also projected to be less than the emissions for the corresponding “No-Build” scenario. In the year 2006, “Build” scenario emissions are estimated to be 56.1 metric tons per day, compared to 56.6 metric tons per day for the “No-Build” scenario. For 2015, “Build” and “No-Build” emissions are projected to be 54.9 and 61.7 metric tons per day, respectively. For the last year of the LRTP, 2021, projected “Build” scenario emissions of 54.5 metric tons per day are less than the 65.5 metric tons per day projected for the “No-Build” scenario.

Since the projected PM-10 emissions for the TIP and Long Range Transportation Plan satisfy the applicable conformity tests, the results support a finding of conformity.

TABLE 6-1. Conformity Test Results for CO, VOC, and PM-10 (metric tons/day)


Scenario	CO ^a	VOC ^b (Revised ROP FIP)	PM-10 ^c		
			Onroad Mobile	Construction	Total PM-10
<i>Budget</i>	411.6	87.1	N/A	N/A	59.7
2006					
— No Build	N/A	N/A	56.6	0.0	56.6
— Build	387.0	70.1	55.9	0.2	56.1
2015					
— No Build	N/A	N/A	61.7	0.0	61.7
— Build	310.8	63.8	54.7	0.2	54.9
2021					
— No Build	N/A	N/A	65.5	0.0	65.5
— Build	325.5	67.0	54.3	0.2	54.5

^a Motor vehicle-related 24-hour emissions corresponding to design day conditions: December 16, 1994.

^b Motor vehicle-related 24-hour emissions based on average summer (ozone) season traffic.

^c Motor vehicle-related 24-hour emissions corresponding to average annual day.

Figure6-1: CarbonMonoxide ResultsforConformityBudgetTest



6-5

Figure6-2: Volatile Organic Compounds(VOC)Results for ConformityBudgetTest





Figure 6-3: PM-10 Results for Conformity Budget Test and Emission Reduction (Build/No Build) Test

6-7



REFERENCES

- ADEQ. 1995. *Arizona Conformity Rules*. Adopted by the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, Arizona Administrative Code, Title 18, Chapter 2, Article 14, April 12, 1995.
- ADEQ. 2000. *Final Serious Area Ozone State Implementation Plan For Maricopa County*. Arizona Department of Environmental Quality. December 2000.
- BAQC. 1978. *Nonattainment Area Plan for Carbon Monoxide and Photochemical Oxidants Maricopa County Urban Planning Area*. Bureau of Air Quality Control, Arizona Department of Health Services. n.d.
- Barton-Aschmann Associates, Inc. 1994. *Task 8 - Transportation Model Documentation*. Maricopa Association of Governments. n.d.
- CAA. 1990. *Clean Air Act*, as amended November 15, 1990. (42 U. S. C. Section 7401 et seq.) November 15, 1990.
- EPA. 1993. 40 CFR Parts 51 and 93. *Criteria and Procedures for Determining Conformity to State or Federal Implementation Plans of Transportation Plans, Programs and Projects Funded or Approved Under Title 23 U.S.C. or the Federal Transit Act*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Federal Register, November 24, 1993, Vol. 58, No. 225, p. 62188.
- EPA. 1995a. *Clean Air Act Section 182 (f) NOx Exemption Petition; Phoenix Ozone Nonattainment Area*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Federal Register, April 19, 1995, Vol. 60, No. 75, p. 19510.
- EPA. 1995b. *Transportation Conformity Rule Amendments: Transition to the Control Strategy Period*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Federal Register, August 7, 1995, Vol. 60, No. 151, p. 40098.
- EPA. 1995c. *Transportation Conformity Rule Amendments: Miscellaneous Revisions*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Federal Register, November 14, 1995, Vol. 60, No. 209, p. 57179.
- EPA. 1996a. *Clean Air Act Reclassification; Arizona-Phoenix Nonattainment Area; PM-10*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Federal Register, May 10, 1996, Vol. 61, No. 92, p. 21372.

EPA. 1996b. *Clean Air Act Reclassification; Arizona-Phoenix Area; Carbon Monoxide*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Federal Register, July 29, 1996, Vol. 61, No. 146, p. 39343.

EPA. 1997a. 40 CFR Parts 51 and 93. *Transportation Conformity Rule Amendments: Flexibility and Streamlining; Final Rule*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Federal Register, August 15, 1997, Vol. 62, No. 158, p. 43779.

EPA. 1997b. *Clean Air Act Reclassification; Arizona-Phoenix Nonattainment Area; Ozone*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Federal Register, November 16, 1997, Vol. 62, No. 215, p. 60001.

EPA. 1998a. *Technical Amendments to Clean Air Act Reclassification; Arizona-Phoenix Nonattainment Area; Ozone; Correction of Effective Date Under Congressional Review Act (CAA)*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Federal Register, February 13, 1998, Vol. 63, No. 30, p. 7290.

EPA. 1998b. 40 CFR Part 52. *Promulgation of Federal Implementation Plan for Arizona; Phoenix PM-10 Moderate Area; Disapproval of State Implementation Plan for Arizona; Phoenix PM-10 Moderate Area; Final Rule*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Federal Register, August 3, 1998, Vol. 63, No. 148, p. 41326.

EPA. 1999a. 40 CFR Part 52. *Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Phoenix, Arizona Ozone Nonattainment Area, Revision to the 15 Percent Rate of Progress Plan*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Federal Register, July 6, 1999, Vol. 64, No. 128, p. 36243.

EPA. 1999b. *Adequacy Status of the Maricopa County Submitted CO Attainment Plan for Transportation Conformity Purposes*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Federal Register, November 29, 1999, Vol. 64, No. 228, p. 66634.

EPA. 1999c. *Conformity Guidance on Implementation of March 2, 1999 Conformity Court Decision*. Environmental Protection Agency. May 14, 1999.

EPA. 2000a. *Adequacy Status of the Maricopa County, Arizona Submitted PM-10 Attainment Plan for Transportation Conformity Purposes*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Federal Register, April 6, 2000, Vol. 65, No. 67, p. 18101.

EPA. 2000b. 40 CFR Part 93. *Transportation Conformity Amendment: Deletion of Grace Period*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Federal Register, April 10, 2000, Vol. 65, No. 69, p. 18911.

EPA. 2000c. *Determination of Attainment of the 1-Hour Ozone Standard for the Phoenix Metropolitan Area, Arizona and Determination Regarding Applicability of Certain Clean Air Act Requirements; Proposed Rule*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Federal Register, May 19, 2000, Vol. 65, No. 98, p. 31859.

EPA. 2001. Determination of Attainment of the 1-Hour Ozone Standard for the Phoenix Metropolitan Area, Arizona and Determination Regarding Applicability of Certain Clean Air Act Requirements, Final Rule. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Federal Register, May 30, 2001, Vol. 66, No. 104, p. 29230.

EPA/DOT. 1991a. *Guidance for Determining Conformity of Transportation Plans, Programs, and Projects with Clean Air Act Implementation Plans During Phase I of the Interim Period.* U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and Department of Transportation. June 7, 1991.

EPA/DOT. 1991b. *Guidance for Determining Conformity of Transportation Plans, Programs, and Projects with Clean Air Act Implementation Plans During Phase I of the Interim Period.* Extended Applicability of the Interim Conformity Guidance. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and Department of Transportation. October 25, 1991.

MAG. 1995. *1993 Study of Travel Speed and Delay in the MAG Region.* Maricopa Association of Governments. March 1995.

MAG. 1996a. *MAG Conformity Consultation Processes for the Maricopa County Nonattainment Area, Required Under Arizona Conformity Rule R18-2-1405.* Maricopa Association of Governments. February 28, 1996.

MAG. 1996b. *Maricopa Association of Governments Transportation Conformity Guidance and Procedures Required Under Arizona Administrative Code Sections R18-2-1405(R) and R18-2-1429(D).* Maricopa Association of Governments. March 27, 1996.

MAG. 1997. *1994 Regional PM-10 Emission Inventory for the Maricopa County Nonattainment Area.* Maricopa Association of Governments. May 1997.

MAG. 1999. *MAG 1999 Serious Area Carbon Monoxide Plan for the Maricopa County Nonattainment Area.* Maricopa Association of Governments. June 1999.

MAG. 2000a. *Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Particulate Plan for PM-10 for the Maricopa County Nonattainment Area.* Maricopa Association of Governments. February 2000.

MAG. 2000b. *Revised MAG 1999 Serious Area Particulate Plan for PM-10 for the Maricopa County Nonattainment Area, Appendices, Volume Two.* Maricopa Association of Governments. February 2000.

MAG. 2000c. *2000 Vehicle Miles of Travel Forecasting and Tracking Report.* Maricopa Association of Governments. September 11, 2000.

MCESD. 1998a. *MAG Air Quality Plan, 1996 Annual Progress Report.* Maricopa County Environmental Services Department. July, 1998.

MCESD. 1998b. *1996 Base Year Carbon Monoxide Emission Inventory for Maricopa County, Arizona Nonattainment Area*. Maricopa County Environmental Services Department. December 16, 1998.

MCESD. 1999. *1996 Base Year Ozone Emission Inventory for the Maricopa County, Arizona, Nonattainment Area*. Maricopa County Environmental Services Department. October 1999.

MCESD. 2000. *Maricopa County Trip Reduction Program Annual Report 2000*. Maricopa County Environmental Services Department. n.d.

RPTA. 2000. *Valley Metro Bus Book 2000 for period March 19, 2000 - August 13, 2000*. Regional Public Transportation Authority. n.d.

RPTA. 2001. *Draft Annual Short Range Transit Report FY 2001-2005*. Regional Public Transportation Authority. n.d.

USDOT. 1999. *Additional Supplemental Guidance for the Implementation of the Circuit Court Decision Affecting Transportation Conformity*. U.S. Department of Transportation. June 18, 1999.

USDOT. 2001. *Use of Latest Planning Assumptions in Conformity Determinations*. January 18, 2001.

WestGroup Research. 2000. *2000 TDM Annual Survey*. Regional Public Transportation Authority. n.d.

GLOSSARY

40 CFR Parts 51 and 93	Sections 51 and 93 from Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations describing the transportation conformity rule.
ADEQ	Arizona Department of Environmental Quality.
ADOT	Arizona Department of Transportation.
Applicable Plan	The most recent air quality plan that has been approved by EPA for a specific air pollutant.
Arterial Roadway	A major urban street serving through traffic and also providing access to adjacent land.
Attainment	The status of having air quality that is below (i.e., cleaner air) the allowable national standard for a particular pollutant.
Build/No-Build	“Build” refers to the action scenario which assumes the “No-Build” scenario and the implementation of the proposed action (included in the TIP or LRTP) for each of the years to be analyzed. “No-Build” refers to the baseline scenario which assumes the future transportation network without implementation of the proposed action (included in the TIP or LRTP) for the years to be analyzed.
CAA	The U.S. Clean Air Act, referring to the Air Pollution Control Act of 1955, as subsequently amended in 1963, 1967, 1970, 1974, 1977, and 1990.
Capacity	The maximum number of vehicles that a roadway can carry in a given time period under prevailing roadway, traffic, and control conditions.
Centroid Connector	An abstract representation of the local street system, as used in MAG travel demand models. These links connect the centroids of zones, where trips begin or end, to arterial or collector roadways on the modeled road network.
CMAQ	Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program.

CO	Carbon monoxide. A colorless, odorless, poisonous gas that results from the incomplete combustion of carbon-based fuels, such as gasoline.
Collector Roadway	A minor urban street providing access to and from local streets and serving adjacent land use.
Concentration	The relative content of a pollutant in the air, expressed as a volume unit to volume unit often expressed as an average for a specified time interval. For example, the national standard for ambient carbon monoxide concentration is an eight-hour average of 9.0 parts per million.
Conformity	An analysis which demonstrates that a transportation plan, program, or project conforms with the State Implementation Plan purpose of eliminating or reducing the severity and number of violations of the national ambient air quality standards and achieving expeditious attainment of such standards; and that such activities will not cause or contribute to any new violation of any standard in any area; increase the frequency or severity of any existing violation of any standard in any area; or delay timely attainment of any standard or any required interim emission reductions or other milestones in any area.
Congestion	Traffic congestion is a condition in which vehicles experience undue delay. It is quantified in the MAG travel demand models by the ratio of traffic volume to capacity (V/C). A V/C ratio of 1.00 or more is considered severe congestion.
Design Day	A day selected to represent conditions (meteorology, etc.) under which violations of the air quality standard for a particular pollutant are likely to occur.
DRAM/EMPAL	Disaggregate Residential Allocation Model/Employment Allocation Model. The MAG land use model used to allocate regional households and employment projections to subregional areas.
Emission Factor	The rate at which a pollutant is emitted from a given source (example: grams per mile) for given conditions (e.g., vehicle type and model year, vehicle speed, fuel type, and ambient air temperature).

EMME/2	Equilibre Multimodal, Multimodal Equilibrium, version 2. A set of computer programs which are used to run the MAG travel demand models.
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency.
Exceedance	A term used to refer to an episode during which ambient concentrations of an air pollutant in a region are higher than the allowable national standard.
EXPLORA	EXPLORA is a computer model that combines the vehicle activity data from the EMME/2 model with the vehicle emission factors from the MOBILE5a and PART5 models to create an estimate of onroad mobile emissions in the appropriate modeling domain.
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration.
FMS	Freeway Management System. Infrastructure such as cameras, variable message signs, and ramp metering systems to improve the flow of people and goods on limited access facilities.
FTA	Federal Transit Administration.
Freeway	A divided highway with two or more lanes for the exclusive use of traffic in each direction, and with full control of access and egress.
FY	Fiscal Year. The federal fiscal year extends from October 1 to September 30. For example, FY 2001 begins on October 1, 2000.
Hot Spot	Localized area with the potential to cause or contribute to a violation of an air quality standard. For example, a busy intersection where vehicular traffic may cause or contribute to increased emissions of carbon monoxide may attribute to a violation of the standard.
HOV	High Occupancy Vehicle. Multi-occupant vehicles such as a carpool, vanpool, or bus.
HOV Lane	A roadway lane available for use by High Occupancy Vehicles only.
HPMS	Highway Performance Monitoring System. Summary information for urbanized areas provides detailed data for a sample of the arterial and collector functional systems to assess highway

condition, performance, air quality trends, and future investment requirements.

I/M	Vehicle Inspection/Maintenance Program.
ITS	Intelligent Transportation System. The deployment of advanced electronics and information technologies to improve the performance of freeways and arterial roadways.
LEV	Low Emission Vehicle.
Link	A computer record describing a section of roadway in the MAG transportation models.
Local Roadway	A road, usually with low traffic volume, designed solely to serve adjacent development rather than through traffic.
L RTP	Long Range Transportation Plan, sometimes referred to as the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP).
MAG	Maricopa Association of Governments. The Maricopa Association of Governments was designated the metropolitan planning agency for Maricopa County, Arizona, by Governor Jack Williams on December 14, 1973.
MCESD	Maricopa County Environmental Services Department.
Metric Ton	A unit of mass equal to 1000 kilograms, or approximately 2203 pounds.
Mode Choice Model	A computer model which determines mode choice, such as transit, auto driver, and auto passenger, based on variables such as travel times, costs, and income of travelers.
MOBILE5a	MOBILE5a is a currently approved EPA model for estimating onroad vehicle emission factors. This model is used to estimate the emission factors for CO and TOG.
MPO	Metropolitan Planning Organization. A body of elected public officials responsible for regional transportation decision-making, as required under federal transportation planning regulations.
NAAQS, or	Refers to the National Ambient Air Quality Standards

National Standard	(NAAQS) which are the maximum pollutant levels which may not be exceeded in the ambient air to protect the public from adverse health effects.
Network	A computer readable representation of a specific urban street and highway system.
Nonattainment Area	An area designated by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency as not being in attainment of the national standard for a specified pollutant.
Node	A point identifying one end of a link in the MAG transportation models.
NO _x	Nitrogen Oxides includes nitric oxide (NO) and nitrogen dioxide (NO ₂). These gaseous air pollutants combine with volatile organic compounds (i.e. hydrocarbons) in the presence of sunlight to produce ozone.
O ₃	Ozone is a secondary pollutant formed by the combination of VOCs and NO _x in the presence of sunlight.
PART5	PART5 is a currently approved EPA model for estimating onroad vehicle emission factors. This model is used to estimate PM-10 emission factors from vehicle exhaust, brake and tire wear, and re-entrained dust from travel on paved and unpaved roads.
Phased in I/M Cutpoints	Cutpoints are the maximum emission level, by pollutant, used to determine if a vehicle passes or fails the emissions test administered through the vehicle inspection and maintenance program. The phased-in I/M cutpoints are the cutpoints currently enacted into legislation for vehicles subject to the enhanced emissions test.
PM-10	Particulate Matter with diameter of 10 microns or less.
ppm	Parts per million, a measure of pollution concentration.
psi	Pounds per square inch, a measure of pressure.
Reentrained Dust	Dust deposited on the roadway that is subsequently projected into the air by the passage of motor vehicles.
Regional Rideshare Program	The MAG sponsored program which provides free technical assistance to individuals, companies, and public sector entities interested in carpooling, vanpooling, or other transportation alternatives to drive-alone motor vehicle use.

Revised ROP FIP	1998 Ozone 15 Percent Rate of Progress Federal Implementation Plan as revised in 1999.
RPTA	Regional Public Transportation Authority. A political subdivision of the State of Arizona established in 1985 to conduct regional transit planning and to develop and operate a regional transit system in Maricopa County.
SIP	State Implementation Plan. Mandated by the Clean Air Act, SIPs contain details to monitor, control, maintain, and enforce compliance with National Ambient Air Quality Standards.
Socioeconomic Data	Data consists primarily of TAZ-level household projections of population and employment by type which are input to the MAG travel demand models.
TAZ	Traffic Analysis Zone. A small geographic area for which socioeconomic data is estimated in the MAG travel demand models.
TCM	Transportation Control Measure. A TCM as defined in CAA Section 108(f)(1)(A) includes any measure in an applicable implementation plan which is intended to reduce emissions from transportation sources by reducing vehicle use or changing traffic flow or congestion conditions (e.g., transit improvements).
TIP	Transportation Improvement Program. An annual or biennial document listing transportation projects to be funded in upcoming years.
TMA	Transportation Management Association. A group comprised generally of businesses to identify and develop solutions to shared transportation problems.
TOG	Total Organic Gases. Gaseous emissions that lead to the formation of ozone.
Travel Reduction Program (TRP)	A program administered by Maricopa County, pursuant to the provisions of Arizona House Bill 2206 (1988), as subsequently strengthened by adoption of the Maricopa County Trip Reduction Ordinance.
USDOT	United States Department of Transportation.

V/C Ratio	Volume to Capacity Ratio. A parameter used to measure congestion. For a given roadway link, it is calculated as total traffic volume divided by capacity.
Violation	A term used to define the number of exceedances that result in noncompliance with the national standard.
VMT	Vehicle Miles of Travel. A measure of total vehicle travel within a specified area and time frame.
VOC	Volatile Organic Compounds. VOCs are emitted in the storage and use of fuel, solvents, and many industrial and consumer chemicals, as well as from vegetation. VOCs and nitrogen oxides, when emitted in the presence of sunlight, undergo chemical reactions which result in the formation of ozone.